

# Agronomic response of sunflower subjected to biochar and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi application under drought conditions

Alireza Safahani Langeroodi,<sup>1</sup> Paola Tedeschi,<sup>2</sup> Enrica Allevato,<sup>3</sup> Silvia Rita Stazi,<sup>2</sup> Rana Muhammad Aadil,<sup>4</sup> Roberto Mancinelli,<sup>5</sup> Emanuele Radicetti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Sciences (DOCPAS), University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy; <sup>4</sup>National Institute of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan; <sup>5</sup>Department of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy

# Highlights

- The combined effects of biochar and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on sunflower are studied.
- Biochar application and mycorrhiza inoculation improved plant performance.
- Biochar and AMF positively affected the net photosynthesis rate of sunflower plants.
- The adoption of biochar and AMF may mitigate the effect of drought conditions.
- Biochar and AMF can support sunflower cultivation.

# Abstract

There is growing interest in developing environment-friendly farming practices that can limit the impact of drought stress in agriculture. The main objective of this study was to investigate the

Correspondence: Roberto Mancinelli, Department of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, Via S. Camillo de Lellis snc, Viterbo, 01100, Italy. E-mail: mancinel@unitus.it

Key words: Soil amendment; physiological parameters; irrigation; drought; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi symbiosis; sustainable agriculture.

Acknowledgements: the authors wish to thank the Agronomy Department, Payame Noor University, Gorgan, I. R. Iran for financial support. The authors would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on earlier drafts of this paper. Moreover, the authors also thank Ken Jacobsen for his evaluable assistance in checking and correcting the English text.

Received for publication: 3 April 2022. Revision received: 18 August 2022. Accepted for publication: 18 August 2022.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2022 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Italian Journal of Agronomy 2022; 17:2086 doi:10.4081/ija.2022.2086

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License (by-nc 4.0) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

Publisher's note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher. combined effects of biochar and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on the agronomic responses of sunflower. Field experiments were conducted in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons in semi-arid environments of Iran. The following treatments were adopted: i) three levels of biochar [0, 2.5 and 5 t ha-1 of biochar called  $B_{l}$ ,  $B_{m}$  and  $B_{h}$ , respectively]; and ii) three irrigation levels (50, 30 and 10% of the maximum available water (MAW) called 50<sup>MAW</sup>, 30<sup>MAW</sup> and 10<sup>MAW</sup>, respectively)]; iii) two levels of AMF inoculation (with and without the addition of AMF called +AMF and -AMF, respectively). The experimental design was a randomized complete block design. At flowering, the leaf area index (LAI) was generally higher in the plants subjected to B<sub>h</sub>-+AMF (on average 4.95), even if the LAI values changed according to biochar application  $(B_h > B_m > B_l)$  and the level of irrigation  $(50^{MAW} > 30^{MAW} > 10^{MAW})$ . At harvesting, sunflower seed yield was highest in <sup>+</sup>AMF and in Bh (on average 53.9 and 51.2 g plants<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Sunflower plants subjected to B<sub>h</sub>-<sup>+</sup>AMF showed the highest seed yield under all irrigation levels (79.4, 57.1 and 32.3 g plant<sup>-1</sup> in 50<sup>MAW</sup>, 30<sup>MAW</sup> and 10<sup>MAW</sup>, respectively). The application of biochar combined with AMF resulted in an increase in agronomic responses compared to untreated plants (B1--AMF) such as root biomass (+15%), stem diameter (+12%), plant height (+5%) and head diameter (+15%). Seed protein was higher in <sup>+</sup>AMF than <sup>-</sup>AMF (on average 20.7 vs 17.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively) and in  $B_h$  and  $B_m$  compared with  $B_l$  (on average 19.4 vs 18.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively). The oil content of seeds was affected by biochar application and AMF inoculation, especially under 50MAW and 30MAW irrigation levels; conversely, no differences were observed under the 10MAW irrigation level. Sunflower yield characteristics were positively correlated to the net photosynthesis rate and negatively affected by hydrogen peroxide and malondialdehyde content. The results showed that the adoption of biochar and AMF may represent as a successful strategy to balance crop productivity in a semi-arid environment. Although further research is required for a better understanding of the irrigation and fertilization schedule, these preliminary results could be extended to other crops which have similar requirements to sunflower.

# Introduction

Food security is severely threatened by drought conditions that adversely affect crop growth and yield, especially in arid areas of the world (Niu et al., 2014; Chaves et al., 2003). Under drought conditions, yield is reduced by as much as 50% when compared to the average potential yield that could be observed in most major cultivated crops (Langeroodi et al., 2020; Gavili et al., 2019; Duc et al., 2018; Hussain et al., 2018; Bernardo et al., 2017; Shafiq et al., 2014). Accordingly, the constraints caused by drought stress may cause economic losses for farmers, and overall decreases in the year-on-year capability to meet the food demands of an everincreasing global population (Nagarajan and Nagarajan, 2010). Additionally, it is expected that water availability will be reduced due to climate change, with the onset of early drought increasing competition for this resource amongst the domestic, industrial, and agricultural sectors (Niu et al., 2014). Consequently, all crops included those considered to be drought-tolerant, will be severely affected by drought stress, making the management of agroecosystems more challenging.

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is an annual crop that belongs to the family Asteraceae. Sunflower is cultivated worldwide due to its adaptability to different agro-environmental conditions and production of seeds that are appreciated for their highquality oil and protein content. The root system of sunflower plants is characterized by the development of roots that may access water from deeper soil layers, which could be good option in semi-arid environments (Karam et al., 2007). Although the extensive root system allows sunflower plants to tolerate water stress to a greater extent than other cultivated plants, sunflower could be negatively influenced by water and heat stresses, especially during the reproductive stage until to achene filling (Hussain et al., 2018; García-López et al., 2016). Limited soil water availability caused by drought conditions can cause leaf wilting contributing to a significant reduction in achene yield, oil yield and its quality (García-López et al., 2016). In addition, drought stress negatively affects sunflower throughout the entire growing season by limiting stem elongation and leaf area (Hussain et al., 2018). Therefore, alternative agronomical approaches are needed to sustain the productivity of sunflower and meet the challenge of sustainable agricultural production systems, which can increase the efficiency of resource utilization, such as water under semi-arid environmental conditions. Biochar is the term used for a product obtained from different organic materials exposed to thermal degradation in an anaerobic environment (pyrolysis). Recently, biochar has received great attention for its beneficial effects as a soil amendment in modern agricultural practices (Lone et al., 2015). Indeed, biochar application in agricultural soils can enhance carbon storage and improve soil fertility compared with unamended soils (Egamberdieva et al., 2017; Rutigliano et al., 2014). Several studies reported that biochar-enriched soils are generally associated with improved physical and chemical characteristics, such as higher water holding capacity, higher exchange capacity (CEC), sorption of pesticides and nutrient ions and improvement of soil structure (Tanure et al., 2019; Egamberdieva et al., 2017; Shanta et al., 2016; Paneque et al., 2016; Lone et al., 2015). In addition, the application of biochar may affect microbial community structure and its role in the efficient use of nutrients and water (Rutigliano et al., 2014). The potential of biochar to sustain crop productivity under arid environments is associated with the enhancement of soil water-holding capacity through improved retention of rain-water and reductions in the required frequency and amount of irrigation water (Paneque



et al., 2016). The association between the cultivated plant and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) represents a promising strategy for sustainable agriculture that can support crop tolerance to drought due to the ability of AMF to explore a greater amount of soil thus allowing the plants to access more water and, therefore, show signs of reduced leaf water potential later than plants grown in uninoculated plants (Bernardo et al., 2017; Rozpadek et al., 2016). Several studies have reported the role of AMF in improving plant water parameters in different host plants such as chicory, maize, chickpea, sesame, and tomato (Leventis et al., 2021; Gholinezhad and Darvishzadeh, 2021; Langeroodi et al., 2020; Hashem et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2019). AMF produce extra-radical hyphae that effectively extend the plant roots in the rhizosphere and improve water absorption (Safahani Langeroodi et al., 2021). In addition, endo-mycorrhization stimulates the synthesis pathway of secondary metabolites, such as phenolic compounds, phytoalexins and peroxidases, which sustain plant protection mechanisms against different stresses (Gholinezhad and Darvishzadeh, 2021; Kabir et al., 2020; Meddich et al., 2015). Recently, Wang et al. (2022) observed that AMF enhances nutrient uptake, especially phosphorous, by improving availability as well as translocation. The increased nutrient uptake may allow to increase photosynthate accumulation and biomass production, facilitating a potential reduction in the application of synthetic fertilizers by up to 50% (Wang et al. 2022). Based on these characteristics, the AMF association with cultivated plants should be considered by farmers because represent a key functional group of soil biota that could support sustainable crop productivity from both agricultural and ecological points of view, especially under severe environmental conditions.

The interaction effects of biochar and inoculation with AMF on sunflower crop under limited availability of irrigation water on agronomical performance, seed yield and oil composition have not been thoroughly investigated. This study aimed to pave the way to an in-situ application of biochar and AMF for sunflower cultivation, even in the areas where drought could threaten satisfactory seed production. Therefore, this study hypothesized that the adoption of biochar and AMF may mitigate the effect of drought conditions in a cultivated area characterized by limited water availability and, also be successfully adopted as water-saving approaches while maintaining seed yield and quality. The main objectives were to investigate the effect of different combinations of biochar and AMF under deficit irrigation conditions on: i) agronomic response of sunflower plants; ii) sunflower productivity in terms of seed yield and yield components; and iii) the connection between sunflower yield characteristics and plant physiological parameters.

#### Materials and methods

#### Research area and experimental setup

Field trials were carried out on sunflower during the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons at the research farm of the College of Agriculture, Payame Noor University, Golesan province, Iran (lat. 36°50' N; 54°22' E; and 61 m a.s.l.). The climate of the experimental area is semi-arid with long dry summers and temperate winters. The historical data (30-year period) showed an average annual rainfall of 312 mm, distributed mainly from November to April (255 mm), and a mean annual temperature of 18.3°C) with the lowest mean monthly temperature in February (8.3°C) and the highest in August (28.8°C). The weather data in terms of the minimum and



maximum air temperatures, precipitation and solar radiation were recorded in both sunflower growing seasons by a meteorological station placed about 500 m from the experimental fields. The soil of the research area was a silty loam with the following characteristics: pH (1:2.5 H<sub>2</sub>O) 7.58, organic matter (1.04%), total nitrogen (0.79 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) of dry soil, available phosphorous (5.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of dry soil and available potassium (101 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of dry soil.

The field experiments were conducted in two adjacent fields using a fully randomized complete block design of a factorial combination of the following treatments: i) three levels of biochar applications [0 (no application), 2.5 and 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of biochar hereafter called B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>m</sub> and B<sub>h</sub>, respectively]; and ii) three irrigation levels (50, 30 and 10% of the maximum available water (MAW), hereafter called  $50^{MAW}$ ,  $30^{MAW}$  and  $10^{MAW}$ , respectively, determined based on the maximum allowable depletion (MAD) of the threshold of available water)]; iii) two levels of AMF inoculation (with and without the addition of AMF, hereafter called <sup>+</sup>AMF and <sup>-</sup>AMF, respectively). The treatments were replicated three times for a total of 54 plots. Each plot was  $21 \text{ m}^2$  (5×4.2 m) and included 7 sunflower rows placed 60 cm apart.

### Farming operation description

Biochar was obtained by using paper sludge collected in a recycling paper placed in a brick kiln and exposed at the charring temperature of 400°C for 4 days. After the pyrolysis exposure (4 days) the obtained biochar was crushed and sieved (<1 cm) to homogenize the final products for field applications. The biochar had the following characteristics: pH of 8.9, electrical conductivity (EC) of 79 (H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>, total N content of 0.3%, total C content of 51.4%, Cation-exchange capacity (CEC) of 12.5 Cmolc. kg<sup>-1</sup>, P of 36 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, K of 1500 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Mg 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, specific area of 39.8 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller analysis).

In both growing seasons, biochar at the above-mentioned rates and phosphorous as triple superphosphate at a rate of 80 kg of P  $ha^{-1}$  were manually spread on the soil surface. Then the soil was ploughed by a moldboard plough to incorporate biochar and phosphorous to a depth of 35 cm and disked twice for seedbed preparation. Sunflower seeds of cultivar Farrow were sown by hand one week after biochar application on 1 June 2018 and 3 June 2019, respectively, at a density of 7 plants m<sup>-2</sup>. Urea fertilizer at a rate of 120 kg  $ha^{-1}$  of N was applied at sunflower sowing (40 kg  $ha^{-1}$  of N), and at the beginning of the flowering stage (80 kg  $ha^{-1}$  of N), respectively. The application of N was performed according to common practices adopted by the farmers in the area.

Before sowing, the <sup>+</sup>AMF plots received 150 g m<sup>-2</sup> of inoculants, containing 125 spores g<sup>-1</sup> of substrates, and distributed in the furrows that had been opened for sunflower sowing. After sowing, the furrows were carefully buried with soil (Gholamhoseini et al., 2013). The AMF inoculant adopted in the experiments was Funneliformis mosseae provided as pure isolates by Royan Co. (Karaj, Iran). The selected inoculant was chosen because it is commercially available in Iran. Each year, onion (Allium cepa 'Selmouni Red') was grown, under greenhouse conditions, in pots filled with autoclaved soil (3 times, 121°C, 30 min). Onion pots were used to prepare AMF in the previous period of sunflower growing season (March to May). The soil used in the pot cultures was collected from a depth of 0-30 cm of the soil layer in the same field where the field experiments were performed. The collected soil was dried in an oven and sieved (2 mm mesh) then mixed with sand at a ratio of 1:1 (V:V). The AMF spore count in the native field soil was minimal (~1 spores 100 g<sup>-1</sup> air-dried soil). Root colonization by AM was measured at sunflower flowering by preparing root samples (1 g) following the method proposed by Philips and Hayman (1970). Roots were stained using the Gridline-Intersect Method (Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980). The mycorrhizal dependency of sunflower plants was expressed as the change in plant growth due to the mycorrhizal colonization and calculated using the formula suggested by Menge *et al.* (1978).

Three weeks before sunflower sowing, the pot contents were airdried, and the onion roots were cut into pieces of 1 cm size and homogenized with the potting substrate. At that point, the inoculum was mainly composed of onion roots with 85% colonization, spores, and hyphae.

During the sunflower cultivation, irrigation water that was obtained from a deep-well was distributed using flat drip piping with 15 cm emitters placed alongside the crop row with a flow rate of 2 L h<sup>-1</sup>. All plots were over-irrigated to the third week after sunflower sowing to ensure seedling establishment and avoid crop failure. Irrigation water was applied according to the specific irrigation schedule for each treatment. A water meter was used to measure the amount of water applied in each irrigation treatment. Soil moisture was measured gravimetrically, and the volumetric soil moisture content before irrigation was taken at different times using a weighing method. The amount of water needed for irrigation was calculated according to the following formula proposed by Safahani Langeroodi *et al.* (2021):

$$Vd=MAD \times ASW \times Rz \times A \tag{1}$$

In this formula, Vd is the amount of irrigation water (mm), ASW stands for the available soil water (equal to 130 mm per meter of soil depth), Rz is the effective root depth (0.80 m, Langeroodi *et al.*, 2014) and A is the surface area of the plot. Available soil water is the amount of water present in the root area between the crop capacity and the permanent wilting point. Due to the application of drip-tape irrigation and proper irrigation management during the growing season based on soil moisture measurements, drainage from the root zone was assumed to be zero. All weeds were removed by hand for the whole sunflower growing season to avoid interference with the crop growth. Sunflower plants were harvested at seed maturity in the first week of October in both experimental years.

#### Data collection and analysis

Sunflower plants were assessed at the beginning of the flowering stage by harvesting the plants from one linear meter randomly chosen within each plot. Plant samples were dried in an oven at 80°C until a constant weight was achieved to determine the total dry matter (hereafter called TDM). At the same time, a potable area meter (LI-COR 2000) was placed below the sunflower canopy at soil level to measure the leaf area index (LAI). In each plot, the LAI measurements were performed five times at 12:00 p.m. on a sunny day, the data reported are the average value of all LAI measurements observed in each plot. Ten representative plants in the center of each plot were selected and marked to carry out observations from flowering (BBCH 65) to physiological maturity (BBCH 89). At physiological maturity, the same plants per plot were manually harvested to determine the following sunflower traits: biological yield, plant height, stem diameter, root dry weight, head diameter, seed per head and thousand seed weight (hereafter called TSW). The seed yield was determined by harvesting a 3  $m^2$  area placed in the middle of each plot. In the table, the seed yield is reported at 13% of moisture content. The sunflower harvest index (HI) was calculated as the ratio between seed weight and biological yield. Protein content was calculated using Kjeldahl nitrogen

analysis (N×5.7). The petroleum ether (Soxhlet method) was used for oil extraction from sunflower seeds and expressed as a percentage. In each treatment, the oil sample was dissolved in 15 ml carbon tetrachloride, 25 mL Wijs' reagent and 10 mL of 5% KI solution, mixed well and kept for 30 min in the dark. The iodine extracted from the mixture was then titrated against starch (indicator) and 0.1 N standard sodium thiosulfate solutions to estimate the iodine value (IV) (AOAC, 1997). Free fatty acids (FFAs) were determined using the method of AOCS (1998). Physiological and biochemical assays performed in sunflower plants at the flowering stage reported by Safahani Langerrodi et al. (2021) were used for correlation analysis with the main agronomical attributes measured on sunflower plants. The parameters used for the correlation analvsis were proline, determined using the method of Bates et al. (1973), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and peroxidase activity (POX) calculated following the method of Orman (1980), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) measured using the protocol of Cakmak (1994), catalase (CAT) analysis proposed by Ismail et al. (2004), abscisic acid (ABA) concentration calculated following Quarrie et al. (1988), hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) determined with the mothed of Velikova et al. (2000), and malondialdehyde (MDA) content following Janero (1990). In addition, chlorophyll (Chl) was estimated in sunflower leaves in accordance with the method of Lichtenhaler (1987) and the net photosynthesis rate (PN) was directly measured on sunflower plants by means of a Photosynthesis System LCpro+ (ADC BioScientific Ltd., Hertsfordshire, UK) under conditions of 1800 umol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> photosynthetic active radiation supplied by a light unit mounted on the top of leaf chamber, and 365 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. The relative water content (RWC) was determined by applying the formula suggested by Smart and Bingham (1974).

#### Statistical analysis

All data and parameters measured in the study were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) carried out with the JMP statistical software v.4.0 by adopting a three-way factorial analysis where irrigation, biochar and AMF were the main factors, and the growing season was designated as a repeated measure (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Averages were compared with Tukey's HSD test ( $\alpha$ =0.05). Pearson's linear correlation test was conducted to detect significant correlations between selected sunflower leave parameters and yield characteristics.

## Results

# Leaf area index and total dry matter of sunflower at flowering stage

The leaf area index (LAI) of sunflower plants measured at the flowering stage varied according to the interaction irrigation × biochar × AMF (P<0.05, Table 1). The LAI was high in  $50^{MAW}$  (on average 5.84), intermediate in  $30^{MAW}$  (on average 4.32) and low in  $10^{MAW}$  (on average 2.95). Similarly, LAI was higher in B<sub>h</sub> followed by B<sub>m</sub> and B<sub>l</sub> (on average 4.57, 4.39 and 4.16, respectively). In addition, the LAI values were always higher in <sup>+</sup>AMF compared with <sup>-</sup>AMF (on average 4.74 *vs* 4.00), even if the differences tended to be higher in  $50^{MAW}$  than  $10^{MAW}$  (Table 1). Likewise, the TDM of sunflower plants at the flowering stage were decreased among the irrigation (on average 1562, 1140, and 981 g m<sup>-2</sup> of DM in  $50^{MAW}$ ,  $30^{MAW}$  and  $10^{MAW}$ , respectively) and biochar (on average 1279, 1234 and 1172 g m<sup>-2</sup> of DM in B<sub>h</sub>, B<sub>m</sub> and B<sub>l</sub>, respectively) levels and it was increased by the inoculum of AMF 1329 *vs* 1025 g m<sup>-2</sup> of DM in <sup>+</sup>AMF *vs* -AMF respectively, Table 1).

#### Sunflower plant characteristics

Root's colonization of inoculated sunflower plants showed a significant reduction in intensity when irrigation water was reduced (data not shown). However, biochar treatment protected AM fungi from the deleterious effects of drought by improving the number of spores, mycelium, vesicles and arbuscules in drought-stressed plants (data not shown). The intensity of colonization declined by 33.7 and 73.1% in  $30^{MAW}$  and  $10^{MAW}$  treatments compared to  $50^{MAW}$ , respectively. The application  $B_h$  and  $B_m$  enhanced an increase in the intensity of colonization by 40.9% and 50.6%, respectively, compared to  $B_l$  treatment.

Results regarding the interaction effect of irrigation × biochar × AMF on the root dry matter (RDM), stem diameter (SD), plant height (PH), head diameter (HD) and days to maturity (DM) of sunflower plants at harvesting are reported in Table 2. The RDM ranged from 87.8 g plant<sup>-1</sup> in  $50^{MAW}$ -B<sub>h</sub>-+AMF to 41.1 g plant<sup>-1</sup> to  $10^{MAW}$ -B<sub>l</sub>--AMF and it tended to show high values in  $50^{MAW}$ , intermediate in  $30^{MAW}$  and low in  $10^{MAW}$  (on average 76.7, 61.7 and 49.0 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). A significant increase in RDM was also observed under B<sub>h</sub> as compared to B<sub>l</sub> (Table 2). Moreover, the RDM was higher in +AMF than in -AMF (on average 66.4 *vs* 58.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The SD showed a similar trend to that

Treatment		LA	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	TDM (g m⁻	<sup>2</sup> of DM)
		+AMF	-AMF	+AMF	-AMF
50 <sup>MAW</sup>	$egin{array}{c} B_{ m h} \ B_{ m m} \ B_{ m l} \end{array}$	$6.50^{ m Aa} \\ 6.30^{ m Aa} \\ 5.92^{ m Ab}$	${5.70^{{ m Ba}}}\over{5.40^{{ m Bb}}}$ ${5.20^{{ m Bb}}}$	$1760^{ m Aa} \\ 1654^{ m Aab} \\ 1602^{ m Ab}$	1514 <sup>Ba</sup> 1461 <sup>Bab</sup> 1390 <sup>Bb</sup>
30 <sup>MAW</sup>	B <sub>h</sub> B <sub>m</sub> B <sub>i</sub>	$5.01^{ m Ac}$ $4.75^{ m Ad}$ $4.55^{ m Ad}$	$4.05^{ m Bd} \\ 3.93^{ m Bd} \\ 3.65^{ m Be}$	1320 <sup>Ac</sup> 1280 <sup>Acd</sup> 1188 <sup>Ad</sup>	1069 <sup>Bc</sup> 1030 <sup>Bc</sup> 954 <sup>Bc</sup>
10 <sup>MAW</sup>	$egin{array}{c} B_h \ B_m \ B_l \end{array}$	${3.36^{ m Ae}}\ {3.25 A^{ m ef}}\ {3.05^{ m Af}}$	$2.78^{ m Bf}$ $2.68^{ m Bf}$ $2.58^{ m Bf}$	1070 <sup>Ae</sup> 1063 <sup>Ae</sup> 1022 <sup>Ae</sup>	$941^{ m Bd}$ $916^{ m Bd}$ $874^{ m Bd}$
S.E.		0.3	0	65	16

Table 1. The interaction effect of Irrigation level  $\times$  AM fungi  $\times$  biochar rate on leaf area index and total dry matter (TDM) in leaves of sunflower at flowering stage.

Data averaged over 2 years (2018 and 2019 growing seasons). Values belonging to the same parameter without common letters in a row for AM fungi inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation level of each biochar rate (lower case letter) are statistically different according to least significant difference test (0.05). LAI, leaf area index; TDM, total dry matter; S.E., standard error.



observed in RDM, except in biochar where no differences were observed between  $B_h$  and  $B_m$  (Table 2). The PH varied according to the irrigation level (161.5, 143.7 and 131.3 cm in 50<sup>MAW</sup>, 30<sup>MAW</sup> and 10<sup>MAW</sup>, respectively), biochar level, (on average 147.7, 146.0 and 142.8 cm in  $B_h$ ,  $B_m$  and  $B_l$ , respectively, and AMF (148.7 and 142.3 cm in <sup>+</sup>AMF and <sup>-</sup>AMF, respectively). The HD varied from 19.5 to 10.1 cm and was always the highest in 50<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 17.4 cm) and <sup>+</sup>AMF (on average 15.3 cm), while among biochar levels HD values were similar in  $B_h$  and  $B_m$  and  $B_m$  and higher than  $B_l$  (on average 14.8 vs 13.6 cm, respectively), even if in 50<sup>MAW</sup>--AMF it was similar across all biochar levels (Table 2). The DM was higher in 50<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 124.3 days), compared to 30<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 114.0 days) and 10<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 107.7 days). In addition, DM tended to be high in  $B_h$  even if the differences were greater in <sup>+</sup>AMF than <sup>-</sup>AMF (Table 2).

### Sunflower yield and its characteristics

The interaction effect of irrigation × biochar × AMF on sunflower yield and yield characteristics was reported in Figure 1. The biological yield (BY) ranged from 16.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in  $50^{MAW}$ -B<sub>h</sub>-<sup>+</sup>AMF to 5.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in  $10^{MAW}$ -B<sub>l</sub>-<sup>-</sup>AMF and it was greater in <sup>+</sup>AMF than in <sup>-</sup>AMF (on average 11.1 vs 9.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), even if under each irrigation level BY increased as biochar level increased. The seed yield was highest in  $50^{MAW}$  followed by  $30^{MAW}$  and  $10^{MAW}$ (on average 68.1, 51.0 and 28.7 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and was higher in <sup>+</sup>AMF than in <sup>-</sup>AMF (on average 53.9 vs 44.6 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), while under each irrigation level it showed similar values between B<sub>h</sub> and B<sub>m</sub> (Table 3). The BY and the sunflower seed yield parameters were positively correlated with relative water content (RWC) (r=0.75\*\* and 0.53\*, respectively), chlorophyll content (r=0.66\* and 0.53\*, respectively) and net photosynthesis rate (r=0.67\* and 0.60\*, respectively), while they were negatively affected by the hydrogen peroxidase content ( $r = -0.53^*$  and  $-0.54^*$ , respectively, Table 3). As expected, the number of seeds per head varied according to the seed yield and it was the highest in 50<sup>MAW</sup>-B<sub>h</sub>-+AMF  $(1260 \text{ n. head}^{-1})$  and the lowest in  $10^{\text{MAW}}$ -B<sub>1</sub>-AMF (671 n. head^{-1}), even under the 10<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation level no differences were observed among the biochar treatments. The thousand weed weight (TSW) increased from the 10<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation level (on average 40.3 g) to the 50<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation levels (on average 71.5 g), and it was affected by the AMF inoculation (on average 57.7 vs 51.4 in <sup>+</sup>AMF and <sup>-</sup>AMF, respectively). Among the biochar treatments, the TSW was generally high and similar in B<sup>h</sup> and B<sup>m</sup>, even if the greatest differences were observed in the 50<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation level (Figure 1). The TSW showed a positive relationship with the net photosynthesis rate (r=0.58\*, Table 3).

The chemical characteristics of sunflower seeds, in terms of seed protein (SP), oil content, IV and FFAs as affected by the irrigation × biochar × AMF interaction are reported in Figure 2. The SP content in sunflower seeds was always greater in <sup>+</sup>AMF than <sup>-</sup> AMF (on average 20.7 vs 17.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively), and it was generally higher in  $B_h$  and  $B_m$  compared to  $B_1$  (on average 21.4 vs 14.0 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively), except in 10<sup>MAW</sup>-<sup>-</sup>AMF where the SP showed similar values among all biochar treatments (Figure 2). Moreover,

Treatment		RI	RDM		SD		PH		HD		DM	
		(g pl	(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )		(cm)		(cm)		(cm)		(davs)	
		+AMF	-AMF	+AMF	AMF	+AMF	AMF	+AMF	AMF	+AMF	AMF	
50 <sup>maw</sup>	B <sub>h</sub>	87.8 <sup>Aa</sup>	75.6 <sup>Ba</sup>	2.25 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.99 <sup>Ba</sup>	168 <sup>Aa</sup>	160 <sup>Ba</sup>	19.5 <sup>Aa</sup>	16.9 <sup>Ba</sup>	126 <sup>Aa</sup>	124 <sup>Aa</sup>	
	B <sub>m</sub>	81.7 <sup>Ab</sup>	70.8 <sup>Bbc</sup>	2.18 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.94 <sup>Ba</sup>	165 <sup>Aa</sup>	159 <sup>Bab</sup>	18.8 <sup>Aa</sup>	16.4 <sup>Ba</sup>	126 <sup>Aa</sup>	124 <sup>Aa</sup>	
	B <sub>l</sub>	75.1 <sup>Ac</sup>	69.1 <sup>Bc</sup>	1.99 <sup>Ab</sup>	1.91 <sup>Ba</sup>	161 <sup>Ab</sup>	156 <sup>Bb</sup>	16.9 <sup>Ab</sup>	16.1 <sup>Ba</sup>	125 <sup>Aa</sup>	121B <sup>b</sup>	
30 <sup>maw</sup>	B <sub>h</sub>	67.8 <sup>Ad</sup>	60.6 <sup>Bd</sup>	1.88 <sup>Ac</sup>	1.68 <sup>Bb</sup>	151 <sup>Ac</sup>	143 <sup>Bc</sup>	15.8 <sup>Ac</sup>	13.8 <sup>Bb</sup>	120 <sup>Ab</sup>	111 <sup>Bc</sup>	
	B <sub>m</sub>	64.9 <sup>Ade</sup>	58.8 <sup>Bd</sup>	1.84 <sup>Ac</sup>	1.60 <sup>Bbc</sup>	148 <sup>Acd</sup>	140 <sup>Bd</sup>	15.4 <sup>Ac</sup>	13.0 <sup>Bbc</sup>	117 <sup>Ac</sup>	111 <sup>Bc</sup>	
	B <sub>l</sub>	61.1 <sup>Ae</sup>	56.9 <sup>Bd</sup>	1.71 <sup>Ad</sup>	1.58 <sup>Bc</sup>	145 <sup>Ad</sup>	135 <sup>Be</sup>	14.1 <sup>Ad</sup>	12.8 <sup>Bc</sup>	115 <sup>Ad</sup>	110 <sup>Bc</sup>	
10 <sup>MAW</sup>	B <sub>h</sub>	55.8 <sup>Af</sup>	47.6 <sup>Be</sup>	1.59 <sup>Ae</sup>	1.44 <sup>Bd</sup>	134 <sup>Ae</sup>	130 <sup>Bf</sup>	12.9 <sup>Ae</sup>	11.4 <sup>Bd</sup>	110 <sup>Ae</sup>	106 <sup>Bd</sup>	
	B <sub>m</sub>	53.7 <sup>Afg</sup>	45.8 <sup>Bef</sup>	1.55 <sup>Aef</sup>	1.37 <sup>Bde</sup>	134 <sup>Ae</sup>	130 <sup>Bf</sup>	12.5 <sup>Aef</sup>	10.7 <sup>Bde</sup>	110 <sup>Ae</sup>	106 <sup>Bd</sup>	
	B <sub>l</sub>	50.1 <sup>Ag</sup>	41.1 <sup>Bf</sup>	1.47 <sup>Af</sup>	1.31 <sup>Be</sup>	132 <sup>Ae</sup>	128 <sup>Bf</sup>	11.7 <sup>Af</sup>	10.1 <sup>Be</sup>	109 <sup>Ae</sup>	105 <sup>Bd</sup>	
S.E.		3.0	3.01		0.06		3.16		0.64		1.78	

### Table 2. The interaction effect of Irrigation level × AM fungi × biochar rate on sunflower plant characteristics at harvesting stage.

Data averaged over 2 years (2018 and 2019 growing seasons). Values belonging to the same parameter without common letters in row for AM fungi inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation level of each biochar rate (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). RDM, root dry matter; SD, stem diameter; PH, plant height; HD, head diameter; DM, days to maturity; S.E., standard error.

Table 3. Co	rrelation coefficie	ents between fe	eatures measure	d on sunflower	plants at flowe	ring stages ar	nd yield and yi	ield characteristics
of sunflowe	r seeds.				1	0 0	, ,	

	Proline	SOD	APX	CAT	POX	RWC	Chl	ABA	$H_2O_2$	MDA	PN
BY	0.13	0.25	0.28	0.20	0.26	0.75**	0.66*	0.43	-0.53*	-0.54	0.67*
Seed yield	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.53*	0.53*	0.33	-0.54*	-0.58*	0.60*
TSW	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.24	0.33	0.12	-0.33	-0.40	0.58*
Seed protein	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.26	0.21	0.69*	0.50	0.19	-0.55*	-0.58*	0.66*
Oil content	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.49	0.44	0.23	-0.60*	-0.59*	0.61*
IV	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.46	0.47	0.12	-0.67*	-0.49	0.44
FFAs	-0.07	-0.05	-0.09	-0.10	-0.08	-0.55*	-0.66*	-0.03	0.59*	0.60*	-0.53*

Pearson's r-value corresponds to 2018 and 2019 growing seasons, n=108. BY, biological yield; TSW, thousand seed weight; SOD, superoxidase dismutase; APX, ascorbate peroxidase, CAT, catalase; POX, peroxidase activity; RWC, relative water content; Chl, chlorophyll; ABA, abscisic acid; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, hydrogen peroxide; MDA, malondialdehyde content; PN, net photosynthesis rate. The significance level is (\*) or (ns) significant at P<0.05, or P>0.05, respectively.

the SP significant positive correlations with RWC (r=0.69\*) and PN (r=0.66\*), while H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content and malondialdehyde content negatively affected the SP ( $r = -0.55^*$  and  $-0.58^*$ , respectively). The oil content ranged from 0.51 % to 0.37 % and was mainly affected by the irrigation level (0.49%, 0.42%, and 0.38% in 50<sup>MAW</sup>, 30<sup>MAW</sup> and 10<sup>MAW</sup>, respectively). Regarding the biochar level, the greatest differences were observed in 50<sup>MAW</sup>, while no differences were detected among the biochar level under 10<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation. Similarly, the AMF inoculation only affected the oil content only in the 50<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 0.50 vs 0.48 % in <sup>+</sup>AMF and -AMF, respectively) and 30<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 0.44 vs 0.39 % in <sup>+</sup>AMF and <sup>-</sup>AMF, respectively) irrigation levels, while no differences were observed in the 10<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation level regardless the biochar rate (Figure 2). As expected, the oil content was positively correlated with the PN (r=0.66\*), while it was negatively affected by the  $H_2O_2$  and MDA (r= -0.60\* and -0.59\*, respectively, Table 3). The iodine value (IV) was higher in the  $50^{MAW}$ , intermediate in the 30<sup>MAW</sup> and lower in the 10<sup>MAW</sup> on average 129.2, 108.2 and 96.0 g I 100 gr oil<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) irrigation level and in <sup>+</sup>AMF compared with -AMF (on average 115.1 vs 107.1 g I 100 gr oil-1, respectively). Conversely, among the biochar treatments, the greater differences were observed in <sup>+</sup>AMF, especially under the 50<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation level, while no differences were observed under -AMF treatments among at each irrigation level (Figure 2). The



results showed an inverse relationship between IV and  $H_2O_2$  content (r=-0.67\*). The FFAs content increased as the irrigation level decreased and was lower in 50<sup>MAW</sup>, intermediate in 30<sup>MAW</sup> and higher in 10<sup>MAW</sup> (on average 0.37, 0.54 and 0.65 mg KOH g oil<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Additionally, the FFAs were reduced in <sup>+</sup>AMF compared to <sup>-</sup>AMF (on average 0.48 *vs* 0.55 mg KOH g oil<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), while the biochar levels generally showed few differences among each irrigation level, except under <sup>-</sup>AMF under 30<sup>MAW</sup> and 10<sup>MAW</sup> irrigation levels where the FFAs content increased as the biochar rate decreased (B<sub>h</sub> > B<sub>m</sub> > B<sub>1</sub>). The FFAs content was negatively affected by the RWC (r= -0.55\*), Chl (r= -0.66\*) and PN (r= -0.53\*). Conversely, it showed a positive relationship with the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content (r=0.59\*) and MDA (r=0.60\*).

# Discussion

Nowadays, there is an urgent need to individuate appropriate agricultural practices to reduce negative impacts on cultivated plants caused by adverse climatic conditions, especially under climate change scenarios that may lead to extreme climatic events. This study aimed to evaluate the agronomic response of sunflower plants grown in semi-arid environmental conditions when subjected to different biochar rates and AMF inoculation. Induced water



Figure 1. The interaction effect of Irrigation level × AM fungi × biochar rate on biological yield (A), seed yield (B), number of seeds (C) and thousand seed weight (D) at sunflower harvesting stage. Data averaged over 2 years (2018 and 2019 growing seasons). Values belonging to the same parameter without common letters are statistically different according to LSD (0.05) in lower case letter under <sup>+</sup>AMF treatments and in upper case letter in –AMF treatments, TSW, thousand seed weight. The asterisk indicates the differences between <sup>+</sup>AMF and <sup>-</sup>AMF in each comparison.



shortage by means of different irrigation schedules subjected sunflower plants to different levels of water stress. The results showed that biochar application and AMF inoculation could be adopted to mitigate the negative effect of deficient irrigation on seed yield and quality. The deep roots of sunflower plants allow them to reach and catch water in deep soil layers giving them drought-tolerant properties. However, when the drought conditions persisted for a long period of time, it had a significant negative effect on sunflower plant growth, especially when severe deficit irrigation was applied (10<sup>MAW</sup>). The results showed as drought conditions affect sunflower plants through their negative influence not only on plant development, but also on agronomical performance including seed yield and quality. Similarly, Hussain *et al.* (2018) observed that drought conditions affect plants at every organizational level.

Water stress generated by severe deficit irrigation subjected sunflower plants to both reduced water potential and turgor of cells, which gradually led to growth inhibition associated with less carbon assimilation, imbalanced mineral nutrition, and accumulation of abscisic acid (Hussain *et al.*, 2018; Ghobadi *et al.*, 2013). According to the results of Garofalo and Rinaldi (2015), water stress in sunflower resulted in a reduction of LAI and total dry biomass at the flowering stage, which reduced plant canopy area and photosynthetic activities compared with sunflower plants grown without stress. Similarly, Pagter *et al.* (2005) showed that Article

drought stress reduced LAI by reducing the production and development of leaves. In this study, the application of biochar significantly increased the LAI in both -AMF and +AMF treatments. probably due to direct factors, such as increased soil water availability, and indirect factors, such as increased nutrient availability, as suggested by Gavili et al., 2019 and Suppadit et al., 2012. In addition, Kammann et al. (2011) reported that the application of biochar increased LAI and biomass of quinoa crops subjected to limited water availability. The porous structure of biochar increases soil surface area and contributes to greater water absorption and availability at the root level (Ali et al., 2017). Baiamonte et al. (2015) reported that the soil aggregate stability increased because of biochar application, and therefore promoted water accumulation in the soil, especially in drought conditions. Biochar is also wellknown for its ability to enhance the retention of nutrients released by organic matter mineralization, through cation and anion exchange processes that keep the nutrients available at the root level (Rogovska et al., 2014).

In this study, sunflower plants benefitted from AMF inoculation by showing higher values of TDM compared with no-inoculated plants, probably due to fungal hyphae that allow the plants to exploit smaller soil pores, including also those added by biochar, and take-up available water and nutrients more efficiently compared with ¬AMF plants, as observed in chicory by Safahani



Figure 2. The interaction effect of Irrigation level × AM fungi × biochar rate on seed protein (A), oil content (B), iodine value (C) and free fatty acids (D) at sunflower harvesting stage. Data averaged over 2 years (2018 and 2019 growing seasons). Values belonging to the same parameter without common letters are statistically different according to LSD (0.05) in lower case letter under \*AMF treatments and in upper case letter in "AMF treatments, TSW, thousand seed weight. The asterisk indicates the differences between \*AMF and "AMF in each comparison.



Langeroodi *et al.* (2020). Moreover, the inoculation of AMF led to higher root biomass compared to non-inoculated plants confirming the results of Ren *et al.* (2019). The greater root system allows the plants to explore a greater portion of the soil and have better access to soil nutrients, especially nitrogen, potassium and phosphorous (Safahani Langeroodi *et al.*, 2020). The increased sunflower root system of <sup>+</sup>AMF plants combined with biochar application improved nutrient status due to enhanced availability of nutrient elements and an improved soil-saturated hydraulic conductivity and soil structure (Gavili *et al.*, 2019).

Considering that the flowering stage is a critical phase for determining fertile flowers, it is conceivable to observe limited achene yield under water-stressed plants. Similarly, García-López et al. (2014) reported different yield responses of sunflower to various irrigation regimes and water stress, especially when irrigation water was reduced to 60% of optimal irrigation. In addition, Fatemi (2014) reported pollen infertility and reduced carbon assimilation due to water stress conditions. In this study, sunflower yield reduction was also affected by physiological and morphological attributes, indeed the content of H2O2 and MDA shows negative relationships with total biomass, achene yield and its quality parameters. Essahibi et al. (2018) reported that reduced water availability induces oxidative stress in the plants with an increase in free radical content. In addition, Sairam et al. (2001) showed that plant cells exposed to drought conditions produce malondialdehyde and are subjected to membrane lipid peroxidation, which led to the decline of cell membrane stability. The inoculation of AMF promotes antioxidant mechanisms by stimulating gene expression or increasing the content of antioxidant enzymes such as POD and SOD (Ren et al., 2019). The results also influenced yield components, in terms of head diameter, the number of achenes per capitulum and 1000achene weight, were strongly hampered under reduced availability of irrigation water (Khan et al., 2000). In this study, biochar and AMF played an important role in increasing the growth and yield of sunflower plants, especially under severely deficit irrigation. The correlation analysis showed how increased RWC and chlorophyll content were positively correlated to biomass accumulation (He et al., 2017). Similarly, Hashem et al. (2019) observed chickpea plants subjected to biochar application and AMF inoculation and found a significant enhancement in net photosynthetic rate. Moreover, the combined effects of biochar and AMF promoted plant growth by affecting resource use efficiency for the same kind of plants (Banerjee et al., 2013).

Sunflower plants subject to drought conditions showed significant reductions in oil content and composition (Hussain et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2009). The results showed a negative relationship between H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and seed protein, oil content and IV. Under drought conditions, the synthesis of reactive oxygen species, such as hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), may cause oxidative stress and alteration to biochemical processes that are linked to lipids and proteins synthesis (Wada et al., 2019; Lang et al., 2018; Shabbir et al., 2016). In particular, the fatty acid composition (oleic and linoleic acids) changes due to accelerated embryo development and enzymatic processes that are stimulated by drought conditions (Rondanini et al., 2003; Baldini et al., 2002). The combined effect of biochar and AMF inoculation improved the availability of potassium (K) in sunflower plants, which plays an essential role in developing the resistance mechanisms of plants (Shafiq et al., 2014). In this study, sunflower plants subject to severe deficit irrigation showed a reduction of IV associated with an increase of FFAs in achene oil. Similarly, Shehzad et al. (2020) found a decrease in IV in sunflower oil ascribable to drought stress. Accordingly, the reduced FFAs content observed in well-irrigated

sunflower plants is indicative of its oxidative stability, as reported by Bozdogan *et al.* (2019). Similarly, the application of biochar associated with AMF inoculation supported the reduction of FFAs content showing a positive role in enzyme activation for an increased level of oleic acid (Seleiman *et al.*, 2019).

# Conclusions

This study showed that the application of biochar in AMF inoculated plants could sustain the cultivation of sunflower subject to severe drought conditions. Indeed, sunflower plants subjected to biochar application and AMF inoculation showed increased tolerance to drought stress compared to untreated plants. Results indicated that the combination of biochar and AMF have a beneficial effect on the growth and physiological response of sunflower promoting seed production and quality. Therefore, the adoption of biochar and AMF inoculation in sunflower cultivation can be viewed as a viable environmental-friendly option to balance crop productivity in semi-arid environments. Further research is required to improve our understanding of irrigation and fertilization schedules. These preliminary results could be extended to other crops with similar requirements to sunflower.

# References

- Ali Q, Ashraf M, Anwar, F, 2009. Physico-chemical attributes of seed oil from drought stressed sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) plants. Grasas Aceites 60:475-81.
- Ali S, Rizwan M, Qayyum MF, Ok YS, Ibrahim M, Riaz M, Arif MS, Hafeez F, Al-Wabel MI, Shahzad AN, 2017. Biochar soil amendment on alleviation of drought and salt stress in plants: a critical review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 24:12700-12.
- AOCS (American Oil Chemists' Society), 1998. Official method Cd 8-53. Peroxide value. In: D. Firestone (Ed.), Official methods and recommended practices of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 5th Edition. AOCS, Champaign, III.
- Baiamonte G, De Pasquale C, Marsala V, Cimò G, Alonzo G, Crescimanno G, Conte P, 2015. Structure alteration of a sandyclay soil by biochar amendments. J. Soils Sediments 15:816-24.
- Baldini M, Giovanardi R, Tahmasebi Enferadi S, Vannozzi GP, 2002. Effects of water regime on fatty acid accumulation and final fatty acid composition in the oil of standard and high oleic sunflower hybrids. Ital. J. Agron. 6:119-26.
- Banerjee K, Gadani MH, Srivastava KK, Verma N, Jasrai YT, Jain NK, 2013. Screening of efficient arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for Azadirachta indica under nursery condition: A step towards afforestation of semi-arid region of western India. Braz. J. Microbiol. 44:587-93.
- Bernardo L, Morcia C, Carletti P, Ghizzoni R, Badeck FW, Rizza F, Lucini L, Terzi V, 2017. Proteomic insight into the mitigation of wheat root drought stress by arbuscular mycorrhizae. J. Proteomics 169:21-32.
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID, 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil. 39:205-7.
- Bozdogan D, Arslan M, Oksuz A, 2019. Physicochemical properties of cold pressed sunflower, peanut, rapeseed, mustard and olive oils grown in the Eastern Mediterranean region. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 26:340-4.

Cakmak I, 1994. Activity of ascorbate-dependent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-scavenging



enzymes and leaf chlorosis are enhanced in magnesium- and potassium-deficient leaves, but not in phosphorus-deficient leaves. J. Exp. Bot. 45:1259-66.

- Chaves MM, Maroco JP, Pereira JS, 2003. Understanding plant responses to drought - From genes to the whole plant. Funct. Plant Biol. 30:239-64.
- Duc NH, Csintalan Z, Posta K, 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mitigate negative effects of combined drought and heat stress on tomato plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 132:297-307.
- Egamberdieva D, Reckling M, Wirth S, 2017. Biochar-based Bradyrhizobium inoculum improves growth of lupin (Lupinus angustifolius L.) under drought stress. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 78:38-42.
- Essahibi A, Benhiba L, Babram MA, Ghoulam C, Qaddoury A, 2018. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the functional mechanisms associated with drought tolerance in carob (Ceratonia siliqua L.). Trees - Struct. Funct. 32:87-97.
- Fatemi S, 2014. Germination and seedling growth in primed seeds of sunflower under water stress. Annu. Res. Rev. Biol. 4:9971.
- García-López J, Lorite IJ, García-Ruiz R, Domínguez J, 2014. Evaluation of three simulation approaches for assessing yield of rainfed sunflower in a Mediterranean environment for climate change impact modelling. Clim. Change 124:147-62.
- García-López J, Lorite IJ, García-Ruiz R., Ordoñez R, Dominguez J, 2016. Yield response of sunflower to irrigation and fertilization under semi-arid conditions. Agric. Water Manag. 176:151-62.
- Garofalo P, Rinaldi M, 2015. Leaf gas exchange and radiation use efficiency of sunflower (Helianthus annus L.) in response to different deficit irrigation strategies: From solar radiation to plant growth analysis. Eur. J. Agron, 64:88-97.
- Gavili E, Moosavi AA, Kamgar Haghighi AA, 2019. Does biochar mitigate the adverse effects of drought on the agronomic traits and yield components of soybean? Ind. Crops Prod. 128:445-54.
- Ghobadi M, Taherabadi S, Ghobadi ME, Mohammadi GR, Jalali-Honarmand S, 2013. Antioxidant capacity, photosynthetic characteristics and water relations of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) cultivars in response to drought stress. Ind. Crops Prod. 50:29-38.
- Gholamhoseini M, Ghalavand A, Dolatabadian A, Jamshidi E, Khodaei-Joghan A, 2013. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and irrigation water productivity of sunflowers grown under drought stress. Agric. Water Manag. 117:106-14.
- Gholinezhad E, Darvishzadeh R, 2021. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi and drought stress on fatty acids profile of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). F. Crop. Res. 262:108035.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA, 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Hashem A, Kumar A, Al-Dbass AM, Alqarawi AA, Al-Arjani ABF, Singh G, Farooq M, Abd\_Allah EF, 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and biochar improves drought tolerance in chickpea. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 26:614-24.
- He L, Li C, Liu R, 2017. Indirect interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and Spodoptera exigua alter photosynthesis and plant endogenous hormones. Mycorrhiza 27:525-35.
- Hussain M, Farooq S, Hasan W, Ul-Allah S, Tanveer M, Farooq M, Nawaz A, 2018. Drought stress in sunflower: physiological effects and its management through breeding and agronomic alternatives. Agric. Water Manag. 201:152-66.
- Ismail A, Marjan ZM, Foong CW, 2004. Total antioxidant activity and phenolic content in selected vegetables. Food Chem. 87:581-6.
- Janero DR, 1990. Malondialdehyde and thiobarbituric acid-reactivity as diagnostic indices of lipid peroxidation and peroxidative tissue injury. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 9:515-40.

- Kabir AH, Debnath T, Das U, Prity SA, Haque A, Rahman MM, Parvez MS, 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alleviate Fedeficiency symptoms in sunflower by increasing iron uptake and its availability along with antioxidant defense. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 150:254-62.
- Kammann CI, Linsel S, Gößling JW, Koyro HW, 2011. Influence of biochar on drought tolerance of Chenopodium quinoa Willd and on soil-plant relations. Plant Soil. 345:195-210.
- Karam F, Lahoud R, Masaad R, Kabalan R, Breidi J, Chalita C, Rouphael Y, 2007. Evapotranspiration, seed yield and water use efficiency of drip irrigated sunflower under full and deficit irrigation conditions. Agric. Water Manag. 90:213-23.
- Khan A, Iqbal M, Ahmad I, Iqbal N, Hussain M, 2000. Effect of different water stress levels on yield and oil content of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) cultivars. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 3:1632-3.
- Lang Y, Wang M, Xia J, Zhao Q, 2018. Effects of soil drought stress on photosynthetic gas exchange traits and chlorophyll fluorescence in Forsythia suspensa. J. For. Res. 29:45-53.
- Langeroodi ARS, Osipitan OA, Radicetti E, Mancinelli R, 2020. To what extent arbuscular mycorrhiza can protect chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) against drought stress. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 263:109109.
- Leventis G, Tsiknia M, Feka M, Ladikou EV, Papadakis IE, Chatzipavlidis I, Papadopoulou K, Ehaliotis C, 2021. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance growth of tomato under normal and drought conditions, via different water regulation mechanisms. Rhizosphere 19:100394.
- Lichtenthaler HK, 1987. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes, Chapter 34. In: Methods enzymol, vol. 148. Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, pp. 350-382.
- Lone AH, Najar GR, Ganie MA, Sofi JA, Ali T, 2015. Biochar for sustainable soil health: a review of prospects and concerns. Pedosphere 25:639-53.
- Meddich A, Jaiti F, Bourzik W, Asli A, Hafidi M, 2015. Use of mycorrhizal fungi as a strategy for improving the drought tolerance in date palm (Phoenix dactylifera). Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 192:468-74.
- Nagarajan S, Nagarajan S, 2010. Abiotic tolerance and crop improvement. In: Abiotic stress adaptation in plants: physiological, molecular and genomic foundation. Springer, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, pp. 1-11.
- Niu S, Luo Y, Li D, Cao S, Xia J, Li J, Smith MD, 2014. Plant growth and mortality under climatic extremes: An overview. Environ. Exp. Bot. 98:13-9.
- Omran RG, 1980. Peroxide levels and the activities of catalase, peroxidase, and indoleacetic acid oxidase during and after chilling cucumber seedlings. Plant Physiol. 65:407-8.
- Pagter M, Bragato C, Brix H, 2005. Tolerance and physiological responses of Phragmites australis to water deficit. Aquat. Bot. 81:285-99.
- Paneque M, De la Rosa JM, Franco-Navarro JD, Colmenero-Flores JM, Knicker H, 2016. Effect of biochar amendment on morphology, productivity and water relations of sunflower plants under non-irrigation conditions. Catena 147:280-7.
- Quarrie SA, Whitford PN, Appleford NEJ, Wang TL, Cook SK, Henson IE, 1988. A monoclonal antibody to (S)-abscisic acid: its characterization and use in radioimmunoassay for measuring abscisic acid in crude extracts of cereal and lupin leaves. Planta 173:130-9.
- Ren AT, Zhu Y, Chen YL, Ren HX, Li JY, Kay Abbott L, Xiong YC, 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus alters root-sourced



signal (abscisic acid) for better drought acclimation in Zea mays L. seedlings. Environ. Exp. Bot. 167:103824.

- Rogovska N, Laird DA, Rathke SJ, Karlen DL, 2014. Biochar impact on Midwestern Mollisols and maize nutrient availability. Geoderma 230-231:340-7.
- Rondanini D, Savin R, Hall AJ, 2003. Dynamics of fruit growth and oil quality of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) exposed to brief intervals of high temperature during grain filling. F. Crop. Res. 83:79-90.
- Rozpadek P, Rapała-Kozik M, Wezowicz K, Grandin A, Karlsson S, Wazny R, Anielska T, Turnau K, 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhiza improves yield and nutritional properties of onion (Allium cepa). Plant Physiol. Biochem. 107:264-72.
- Rutigliano FA, Romano M, Marzaioli R, Baglivo I, Baronti S, Miglietta F, Castaldi S, 2014. Effect of biochar addition on soil microbial community in a wheat crop. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 60:9-15.
- Safahani Langeroodi AR, Mancinelli R, Radicetti E, 2021. Contribution of biochar and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to sustainable cultivation of sunflower under semi-arid environment. F. Crop. Res. 273:108292.
- Sairam, RK, Srivastava, GC, 2001. Water stress tolerance of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): Variations in hydrogen peroxide accumulation and antioxidant activity in tolerant and susceptible genotypes. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 186:63-70.
- Seleiman MF, Refay Y, Al-Suhaibani N, Al-Ashkar I, El-Hendawy S, Hafez EM, 2019. Integrative effects of rice-straw biochar and silicon on oil and seed quality, yield and physiological traits of helianthus annuus L. grown under water deficit stress. Agronomy 9:637.
- Shabbir RN, Waraich EA, Ali H, Nawaz F, Ashraf MY, Ahmad R, Awan MI, Ahmad S, Irfan M, Hussain S, Ahmad Z, 2016. Supplemental exogenous NPK application alters biochemical processes to improve yield and drought tolerance in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23:2651-62.

Shafiq S, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Arshad A, 2014. Synergistic

effects of drought and ascorbic acid on growth, mineral nutrients and oxidative defense system in canola (Brassica napus L.) plants. Acta Physiol. Plant. 36:1539-53.

- Shanta N, Schwinghamer T, Backer R, Allaire SE, Teshler I, Vanasse A, Whalen J, Baril B, Lange, S, MacKay J, Zhou X, Smith DL, 2016. Biochar and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria effects on switchgrass (Panicum virgatum cv. Cavein-Rock) for biomass production in southern Québec depend on soil type and location. Biomass Bioener. 95:167-73.
- Shehzad MA, Nawaz F, Ahmad F, Ahmad N, Masood S, 2020. Protective effect of potassium and chitosan supply on growth, physiological processes and antioxidative machinery in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) under drought stress. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 187:109841.
- Smart RE, Bingham GE, 1974. Rapid estimates of relative water content. Plant Physiol. 53:258-60.
- Suppadit T, Phumkokrak N, Poungsuk, P, 2012. The effect of using quail litter biochar on soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.) production. Chil. J. Agric. Res. 72:244-51.
- Tanure MMC, da Costa LM, Huiz HA, Fernandes RBA, Cecon PR, Pereira Junior JD, da Luz JMR, 2019. Soil water retention, physiological characteristics, and growth of maize plants in response to biochar application to soil. Soil Tillage Res. 192:164-73.
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A, 2000. Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci. 151:59-66.
- Wada S, Takagi D, Miyake C, Makino A, Suzuki Y, 2019. Responses of the photosynthetic electron transport reactions stimulate the oxidation of the reaction center chlorophyll of photosystem I, P700, under drought and high temperatures in rice. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20:2068.
- Wang L, Yang D, Ma F, Wang G, You Y, 2022. Recent advances in responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi - Plant symbiosis to engineered nanoparticles. Chemosphere 286:131644.