Exploring the physiological and agronomic response of Armoracia rusticana grown in rainfed Mediterranean conditions
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Abstract

Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) is a perennial plant, belonging to the Brassicaceae family, whose roots are widely used as a cooking spice and flavouring agent across the world and has recently acquired considerable scientific interest due to the richness of phytochemicals. Aim of the study was to explore water relations in plants grown in pots and subject to severe stress conditions and gas exchange, plant growth, and agronomic characteristics of two horseradish accessions grown in open field under rainfed Mediterranean conditions. Total and osmotic leaf water potentials were significantly reduced by water stress to a similar extent at predawn and middle day. The carbon isotope composition (δ13C) (on average –34.2 and –28.9‰ in leaves and roots, respectively) resulted statistically different among water stress treatments in plants collected at middle day. In open field, during the vegetative development, plants regulate the foliage expansion depending on rainfall distribution and temperature. When the dry period occurs, plants get rid of several mature leaves that dry completely, and might develop new leaves in the next period, when the air-cools and rainfall increases. During the dry period, horseradish optimised gas exchange during the day by increasing the net CO2 assimilation rate during the early morning (up to 31 µmol CO2–2s–1, on average), when the sunlight intensity and the air temperature conditions are more favourable. The species, which rely on an annual rainfall of about 680 mm, produced about 11.5 and 24.3 t/ha of roots from one- and two-year-old plantations, respectively. Regardless of accessions, close relationships were found between the number of leaves and root weight (R2=0.88 and P≤0.01) and diameter (R2=0.82 and P≤0.01). The results advise the importance to know the physiology and agronomic characteristics of horseradish to purposefully apply agronomic practices and strategies to maximise benefits for production and root quality.

Introduction

Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana P. Gaertner, B. Meyer & Scherbisius), a species belonging to the Brassicaceae family, is a perennial rhizomatous plant with big oblong-ovate and long-petioled leaves and a large root system characterised by a white, cylindrical or tapering main root with several thin lateral roots (Courter and Rhodes, 1969; Sampliner and Miller, 2009; Shehata et al., 2009). Horseradish is mostly appreciated for the aromatic and spicy roots around the world, and it is mainly cultivated in Russia (about 5000 ha), Northeast Europe (particularly in Hungary with 1200 ha), Germany, Latvia, Ukraine, and North America (about 3500 ha in USA) (Filipović et al., 2015), mostly in Illinois where the International horseradish festival is celebrated annually. Currently, the scientific interest on the species has been increasing due to the richness of phytochemicals, which are tested for innovative applications in various sectors: from the medical and pharmaceutical fields (e.g., nasal and sinus dysfunction, urinary antiseptic drug, cancer protection) to the food industry (e.g., natural preservatives against bacteria and fish oomycete pathogens, cheaper substitute of wasabi) (as reviewed by Nguyen et al., 2013; Wedelsbäck Bladh, 2014). Indeed, the tissues of horseradish are abundant in horseradish peroxidase, an enzyme commonly used as a component of clinical diagnostic kits, in medical research and neuroanatomy, and in targeted cancer therapy (Veitch, 2004). Moreover, the plant is also very rich in glucosinolates (GLS), the main source of anticarcinogenic activity in Brassica vegetables. In studies performing comprehensive analysis on the identification and quantification of GLS in leaves and roots of horseradish, the species turned out to produce more GLS than other Brassicaceae (Agneta et al., 2014a), and in large amounts (Ciska et al., 2017; Rivelli et al., 2016b). Both, the above- and below-ground tissues contained high quantities of aliphatic GLS, with sinigrin that is the major GLS in horseradish (De Maria et al., 2016). Moreover, Bell et al. (2018) have shown a detailed characterisation of the commonly reported GLS and their hydrolysis products, particularly isothiocyanates and also sulphur-containing volatile compounds, and their associated tastes, aromas and flavour perceptions for several Brassicaceae species including horseradish. In addition,
Kroener and Buettner (2018) found that six different horseradish accessions show differences in their aroma profile even when grown under equal conditions. Furthermore, a surprising amount of vitamin C is also reported for leaves and roots of horseradish (Rivelli et al., 2017), total phenols and flavonoids (Calabrone et al., 2015). In tandem with phytochemicals and secondary metabolite characterisation in horseradish, there is a growing need to delve into agronomic studies, of which scarce information is available in literature. Still very little is published about the among-accession genetic diversity of the propagation material that nowadays is cultivated, the physiology of the species and the agronomic management. Numerous reviews and articles have been published on horseradish in the last few years; in some there are detailed ethnobotanical and ethnopharmacological data, and local as well as traditional uses as food and medicinal plant in several countries, as in Hungary, Romania and Albania (Nguyen et al., 2013; Papp et al., 2018; Stillo et al., 2018), Southern Italy (Sarli et al., 2012; Agneta et al., 2013; Guerrera and Savo, 2016), Nordic countries (Wedelsbäch and Olsson, 2011) and in native areas of Eastern Europe and Siberian Russia (Sampliner and Miller, 2009). While in other studies, the authors focus on GLS and their breakdown activities (Vig et al., 2009; Saladino et al., 2016; Petrović et al., 2017), cancer chemoprotective properties (Sayeed et al., 2017) and human health. In the face of such multidisciplinary interest, very few studies have been published on agronomic practices and management of the crop in open fields, and most of them are referred almost exclusively to fertilisation and harvesting. Perlaki and Djurovka (2009), comparing organic and mineral fertilisers, recorded the highest total yield and the greatest main root weight (TW) was recorded after tissue portions were floated in distilled water for about 6 h to reach its maximum, and then blotted to

Materials and methods

Experimental design in open field

The experiment was carried out in two years (2014/15 and 2015/16) in Potenza (Southern Italy, 40°38′N - 15°48′E, 819 m a.s.l.) on soil with 36.1% sand, 29% silt, 35% clay, 1.25 kg dm⁻³ bulk density, 7.2 pH in water, 0.14 mS/cm electrical conductivity (EC), and soil moisture content of 32.3% at field capacity and 13% at wilting point (obtained in the Richards chambers at –0.03 and –1.5 MPa, respectively). The climatic conditions during the experiment were characterised by an annual rainfall of 693 mm and 671 mm in 2014 and 2015, respectively, versus 588 mm as a long-term average (1980-2010). The rainiest period went from January to March (210 and 271 mm vs 157 mm long-term mean value) while the driest conditions occurred from July to August (36.1 and 48.2 mm vs 66 mm long-term mean value). In both years, mean temperatures ranged, on average, from 6.9 (Jan-Feb) to 23 (Jul) and 8.1°C (Dec), against 3.7, 20.5 and 4.9°C, respectively, as long-term average. Two selected accessions of *A. rusticana*, collected from local nurseries in Corleto Perticara (40°23′N-16°03′E, 749 m a.s.l.) and Montemurro (40°18′N; 15°59′E, 723 m a.s.l.) municipalities of the Potenza Province, henceforth referred to as COR and MON, respectively, were tested. On March 31, 2014, root cuttings (approximately 18 cm in length and 1.0 cm in diameter) were transplanted in single rows in open field, previously ploughed and fertilised with 100 kg N ha⁻¹ and 15 kg S ha⁻¹. The experimental design was a randomised complete block with three replicates; the plots were 8 m long with a row spacing of 60 cm between rows and 40 cm within the row, and a crop density of 4 plant m⁻². Plant protection and weed control were carried out according to local practices and weather conditions.

Experimental design in pots

In order to investigate water relations in plant, 21 root cuttings (10 cm in length and 1 cm in diameter) were transplanted singly into 13×13×20 cm pots filled with 2.2 kg of soil collected from the first layer of 30 cm in open field, and maintained wet until leaf emergence. The soil surface was covered with a 3-cm layer of polyethylene beads to prevent soil evaporation. After two weeks, the 21 pots were separated in three groups of seven replicates each and subject to different levels of water stress by re-establishing, respectively, the 100 (V100 as control), 40% (V40) and 10% (V10) of the field capacity, until the end of the experiment when plants were harvested by the end of June 2014, about 80 days after transplanting (DAT).

Physiological parameters measured in plants grown in pots

Before to cut the plants grown in pots, leaf water potential (∅) was measured at the end of the experiment (80 DAT) on the youngest fully expanded leaves of seven plants for treatment at predawn (for plants maintained overnight in the dark) and midday by using the Scholander pressure chamber (PMS model 1000, Corvallis, OR). Leaf samples excluding the petiole were placed in microtubes, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at –20°C. The sap was then collected by crushing the thawed tissues with a mechanical press at constant pressure and centrifuged in Eppendorf for 2 min at 12,000 rpm. Osmolarity of the sap was measured with a Micro-Digital Osmometer type 6, freezing point depression osmometer (Roelbling, Berlin, Germany). Osmotic potential (ψᵩ) was calculated from osmolarity by the Van’t Hoff equation. Turgor potential (ψᵩ) was estimated as the difference between ∅ and ψᵩ. Relative water content (RWC) was also determined using 1-cm² segments of leaf tissue. Leaf portions were weighted immediately after sampling to record a fresh weight (FW). Turgid weight (TW) was recorded after tissue portions were floated in distilled water for about 6 h to reach its maximum, and then blotted to
remove adhering surface water. Dry weight (DW) was measured after drying at 75°C for 2 days. RWC was calculated as (FW-DW)/(TW-DW). Afterwards, plants were harvested and weighted for fresh weight (FW) and dry matter weight (DW) after dried the leaves and roots in a ventilated oven at 75°C until steady weight. Then, using 0.6-0.8 mg of leaves and roots oven-dried and finely ground, stable C isotope composition (δ13C) was measured at the Stable Isotope Core (Washington State University) using a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta PlusXP, ThermoFinnigan, Bremen). δ13C was expressed in delta notation (‰) relative to the Pee-Dee Belemnite, the international standard.

**Physiological parameters and plant growth analysis in open field**

Gas exchange parameters (net CO2 assimilation rate – A; stomatal conductance – gs; sub-stomatal CO2 concentration – Ci; transpiration – T) were recorded by using a LI-6400 portable gas exchange system equipped with a 2-cm² chamber and 6400-40 LED light source (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA), operating at 380-ppm ambient CO2 concentration. The measurements were taken in open field from 8:00 to 19:00 h (solar time), approximately every two hours, using the same youngest fully expanded leaf of 6 plants of COR and MON accessions, at stage S1 (during the vegetative development of the plants in the first year of the experiment, 2014/2015). On the same leaves used for gas exchange measurements, samples of fresh leaf tissue were taken for chlorophyll determination at 11:00 h and 17:00 h, ground with a glass homogenizer in 2 mL of 80% acetone (v/v) and centrifuged at 12.000 rpm for 2 min. Chlorophyll content (µg/mL) was calculated according to Porra et al. (1989).

Throughout the whole growing cycle of the first year (from leaf emergence by the end of April 2014 to root harvesting in January 2015), the maximum length of the lamina (L, from lamina tip to the point of petiole intersection along the midrib) and midlength width (W) of all the leaves of 4 plants for each accession were measured (to the nearest 0.1 cm with a simple ruler) every week. These parameters were subsequently fitted in the regression linear models LA = 0.71 LW – 0.27 and LA = 0.76 LW – 3.22 for COR and MON, respectively, to estimate the leaf area (LA), according to De Maria et al. (2018). In addition, during both growing cycles (2014/15 and 2015/16), 4 plants for each accession were collected in three phenological stages: (S1) during the vegetative development of the plants (July), (S2) at the beginning of leaf senescence (September), and (S3) at root harvesting (January). At each data sampling, the height (from the soil line to the top of the longest leaf) for each accession was measured, then plants were manually dug out, cleaned with tap water, dried with paper towels and divided into above- and below-ground portions. The aboveground portion was further separated into green, senescent and dry leaves (at S1 and S2 stages) or in dry and young leaves wrapped in a rosette (at S3 stage). All types of leaves were counted and weighted to determine FW. The below-ground portion was separated into main root (including the crown with buds and/or rosette leaves) and side roots. Thereafter, based on the descriptor list of Petříková et al. (1998) and UPOV guidelines (2001), selected morphometric parameters were detected: diameter at the top and diameter at the base of the main root, number of side roots (with diameters larger than and less than 0.5 cm) and FW. Root surface texture (glabrous or wrinkled), colour and shape in longitudinal section were also recorded. Finally, to measure the DW, leaves and roots were dried in a ventilated oven at 70°C until steady weight.

Statistical analysis was performed by using M-STAT software (version 2.00, East Lansing, USA). Variable were tested with ANOVA followed by Duncan test to separate the means. Significance levels were expressed as *P<0.05; **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001.

**Results and discussion**

**Water relations and carbon isotope composition**

Results from water relations and carbon isotope composition (δ13C) of plants grown in pots are reported in Figure 1 and Table 1. For all parameters analysed, since no significant differences were found between the two accessions of horseradish, the average values are considered below. The RWC was steady with time in control plants (V100) through the experimental period (95 and 93% at predawn and middle day, respectively) and it slightly decreased in the most stressed treatment (V10) that showed, on average, values of 90 and 88%, respectively at predawn and in middle day (data not shown). Total leaf water potential (ψl) and osmotic potential (ψΩ) were significantly reduced by water stress to a similar extent at predawn and middle day (Figure 1). ψl and ψΩ, measured at predawn, respectively decreased passing from –0.15 and –0.89 MPa in the control treatment (V100) to –0.93 and –1.13 MPa in the most stressed treatment (V10), while in middle day they decreased passing from –0.42 and –0.95 to –1.30 and –1.56 MPa in V100 and V10 treatments, respectively. At the end of the experiment (Figure 1), differences of 0.14 and 0.54 MPa (at predawn) and 0.16 and 0.33 MPa (in middle day) of the calculated turgor (ψπ) were observed in control plants with respect to stressed plants, V50 and V10 treatments, respectively. The carbon isotope composition (δ13C) of leaves and roots was on average –34.2 and –28.9‰, respectively; it was significantly lower in the leaves of the most stressed treatment (V10) collected at middle day (Table 1), reflecting a physiological response to water stress. As a consequence of the different water supply, the growth of the plants was affected in stressed treatments compared to the control (V100); after 65 days of water stress, the leaf area and final biomass production were reduced by 53 and 47%, respectively, compared to the control plants (V100). The water use efficiency (WUE) calculated as the ratio of dry matter production (DM) to water consumption (WC) was significantly lower in stressed plants compared to control plants (V100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Predawn</th>
<th>Leaf</th>
<th>Middle day</th>
<th>Root</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V100</td>
<td>–34.9±0.38</td>
<td>–35.1±0.13A</td>
<td>–28.5±0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V40</td>
<td>–34.0±0.59</td>
<td>–34.5±0.48AB</td>
<td>–29.0±0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V10</td>
<td>–33.5±0.68</td>
<td>–33.7±0.42B</td>
<td>–29.2±0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F probability</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant levels are expressed as *P<0.05; **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001.
reduced, respectively, by 46.2% and 44.1% (38.7% roots and 45.4% leaves) in V50 treatment and by 56.8% and 56.1% (47.5% roots and 59.3% leaves) in V10 treatment with respect to the unstressed control (V100). According to Martínez-Ballesta et al. (2013), drought is expected to reduce vegetative growth with the subsequent increase of secondary metabolites at the expense of primary metabolism. The effects of water stress and dry conditions on the variations of phytochemicals and secondary metabolism in plants have been intensely investigated in a number of Brassicaceae species (as summarised by Björkman et al., 2011; and Martínenez-Ballesta et al., 2013). According to the authors, when plants are stressed, secondary metabolism may increase resulting in a higher glucosinulates content with respect to the plants grown under favourable conditions. However, it is useful to report, that in the face of the glucosinulates accumulation as part of plant response to stress through the process of osmotic adjustment, comparing several brassicaceae species, contradictory results have been reported by Martínenez-Ballesta et al. (2013); according to the authors the accumulation of each individual glucosinulate may vary depending on species, intensity and duration of the stress and plant developmental stage. Considering horseradish, it is generally claimed that the species thrives with adequate moisture in the soil, and that to ensure greater root yields, supplemental irrigation may be applied during the dry months.

Unfortunately, very little is published on water stress related to growth, yield and glucosinolates profile and content in horseradish and even less data are available on water requirement and irrigation scheduling. Our results highlight that horseradish, when subjected to severe water stress, shows a considerable reduction of plant development and growth of both leaves and roots.

Gas exchange, growth and agronomic characteristics of the above- and below-ground tissues

Results from gas exchange measurements in plants grown in open field during the first growing cycle are reported in Figure 2. For all parameters analysed, horseradish accessions did not significantly differ with each other. The CO2 assimilation rate (A) increased from 8:00 h to 11:00 h reaching the highest values during the early morning (from approximately 17 up to 31 µmol CO2 m⁻²s⁻¹, on average). It then starts to decline around 13:00 h reaching a lower value of 9 µmol CO2 m⁻²s⁻¹ at 19:00 h. A similar trend was observed for gs that was, on average, 0.56 and 0.32 mol H₂O m⁻²s⁻¹ during the morning and afternoon, respectively. The intercellular CO2 concentration (Ci) started to decrease from 300 µmol CO2 mol⁻¹ at 8:00 h down to 200 at 10:00 h until 16:00 h and increased again up to 280 µmol CO2 mol⁻¹ in late afternoon. Transpiration (T) showed a bell trend during the day with the two highest peaks
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of about 14 mmol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ at 11:00 h and 16:00 h. Total chlorophyll concentrations measured at 11:00 and 17:00 h, as expected, were not different from each other; however, the MON accession showed a higher concentration in comparison to COR (average values of 19.7 and 17.8 µg mL$^{-1}$, respectively) (data not shown). Gas exchange data associated with $\delta^{13}$C values suggest that horseradish, like other Brassicaceae, belongs to the C$_3$ species, which generally show $\delta^{13}$C values lower than $\sim$20‰. Based on our results, we hypothesize that when horseradish experiences drought, it optimises gas exchange during the day by increasing the net CO$_2$ assimilation rate (up to 31 µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$, on average) in the early morning, when the sunlight intensity and the air temperature conditions are more favourable. As observed in pots experiment it also lowers the leaf osmotic potential in severe water stress conditions, probably to adjust osmotically to maintain turgor and stomatal conductance. On the other hand, it is known that plants normally respond to abiotic stress with the accumulation of compatible osmolytes, hormone homeostasis and morphological changes in foliage and plant growth. The plant growth, expressed as green leaf area (LA, cm$^2$ plant$^{-1}$) of COR and MON accessions cultivated under Mediterranean rainfed conditions, during 2014/15 and 2015/16, is reported in Figure 3. In both accessions, the leaf emergence occurred at 35 DAT, subsequently as temperatures rose, horseradish started to develop the foliage and the LA increased up to 6000 cm$^2$ plant$^{-1}$ during the vegetative development stage (120 DAT). During the following period, from July to August, which is usually the hottest and driest period (22-25°C air mean temperature and 36-48 mm of rainfall, see more details in materials and methods), the plants got rid of several mature and old leaves that dry completely (12 and 18% of total leaves in MON and COR, respectively) and continue to produce new leaves in the next period, when the air cools and rainfall increases (end of August-September). During this period, the horseradish plants reached the maximum LA, with MON accession that showed a higher value in comparison to COR (10,000 vs 8000 cm$^2$ plant$^{-1}$, corresponding to 5 and 4 of leaf area index, LAI, in our field condition with 4 plants m$^{-2}$). As autumn approached, the plants entered into senescence and the LA gradually decreased until late winter, when the foliage was completely dried and on the root crown new buds and rosette leaves were already formed. Horseradish is a perennial species, usually cultivated as an annual crop, planted at the beginning of the spring by root cuttings and harvested once the foliage has been killed by frost from late autumn to the end of winter (Sampliner and Miller, 2009; Shehata et al., 2009). If roots are not harvested and left in the field, they continue to grow, both in weight and in diameter (Rivelli et al., 2016b). In Mediterranean environments as spring approaches (towards the end of March and early April), the rosette leaves begin to develop and a new growing cycle begins.
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**Figure 2.** (A) Net CO$_2$ assimilation rate (a), (g) stomatal conductance (b), (G) sub-stomatal CO$_2$ concentration (c) and (T) transpiration (d) of two horseradish accessions (COR and MON in black and white circles, respectively) measured from 8:00 a.m. to 19:00 p.m. during the stage of vegetative development. Values are means (n=6)$\pm$s.e.
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**Figure 3.** Green leaf area of two horseradish accessions (COR and MON in black and white circles, respectively) during the whole growing cycle, from root transplanting to root harvesting. Values are means (n=4)$\pm$s.e.
with the development of almost double the foliage compared to the previous year, when root cuttings were transplanted. The doubling of the leaf surface from the first to the second growing season depends on the simultaneous enlargement of the root and the formation of multiple heads that are rounded knobs at or near the top of the root to which the leaves are attached (Rivelli et al., 2016b). Overall, during the growing season, plants regulate the expansion of the leaves and enlargement of the root depending on rainfall distribution and air temperatures. Our results, obtained in plants subjected to severe stress conditions in pot as well as in plants grown in the open field that have experienced a very dry summer, suggest that to avoid drastic reduction on plant growth, water should be applied to horseradish particularly during the dry period in the Mediterranean environments to not compromise quality and root production.

In addition to LA development, in Table 2 are summarised several agronomic characteristics of the two accessions (COR and MON), referred both to the above-ground portion (fresh weight, number of leaves and plant height measured from the soil line to the top of the longest leaf) and below-ground portion (root weight and diameters, number of side roots), recorded at the stages of vegetative development (S1), leaf senescence (S2) and root harvesting (S3), throughout the growing cycles (2014/15 and 2015/16). Considering the above-ground portion, both accessions exhibited similar trends during the two growing cycles and resulted not significantly different from each other, except for leaf number (Table 2), while significant differences were found among the stages analysed in the two growing cycles. The FW and number of leaves increased from 340 g plant\(^{-1}\) (on average) and 16 and 21 leaves for COR and MON, respectively, to 852 g plant\(^{-1}\) and 55 and 82 leaves (with a maximum length of about 61 cm) passing from S1 stage of the first growing cycle to the next. Subsequently, the plants lose 30% of the weight at stage S2, when the plant enters the senescence, reaching the lowest value of 286 g plant\(^{-1}\) at stage S3, when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growing season</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Fresh weight (g/plant)</th>
<th>Leaf number (n/plant)</th>
<th>Maximum leaf length (cm)</th>
<th>Fresh weight (g/plant)</th>
<th>Diameter at the top (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter at the base (cm)</th>
<th>Side roots number (n/plant)</th>
<th>Number of side roots with Ø ≥ 0.5 cm</th>
<th>Root yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>340±43</td>
<td>16±3.2</td>
<td>55±2.0</td>
<td>846±64</td>
<td>2.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
<td>3.0±0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.3±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>334±57</td>
<td>21±3.5</td>
<td>58±4.9</td>
<td>101±10.5</td>
<td>2.7±0.2</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>2.7±0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.0±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>407±39</td>
<td>28±3.5</td>
<td>58±3.0</td>
<td>165±40.2</td>
<td>4.0±0.2</td>
<td>2.9±0.4</td>
<td>5.0±1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.5±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>434±39</td>
<td>39±3.3</td>
<td>62±3.6</td>
<td>155±37.8</td>
<td>3.7±0.5</td>
<td>3.1±0.4</td>
<td>3.0±1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.2±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>83±13</td>
<td>31±2.0</td>
<td>2-8(^a)</td>
<td>274±28.2</td>
<td>3.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>15.1±2.4</td>
<td>6.5±0.6</td>
<td>10.9±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>95±10</td>
<td>43±3.5</td>
<td>2-8(^a)</td>
<td>299±33.0</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>3.2±0.3</td>
<td>14.0±1.9</td>
<td>5.0±0.8</td>
<td>12.0±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>795±89</td>
<td>55±3.3</td>
<td>63±2.3</td>
<td>464±51.6</td>
<td>6.1±0.7</td>
<td>3.7±0.6</td>
<td>6.3±2.0</td>
<td>5.0±0.5</td>
<td>13.9±4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>908±97</td>
<td>82±9.8</td>
<td>59±2.2</td>
<td>499±34.6</td>
<td>7.0±0.3</td>
<td>4.2±0.5</td>
<td>8.3±0.9</td>
<td>4.0±0.6</td>
<td>20.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>560±77</td>
<td>79±19.2</td>
<td>62±4.2</td>
<td>521±89.2</td>
<td>6.7±0.6</td>
<td>3.5±0.4</td>
<td>16.7±1.5</td>
<td>5.9±0.9</td>
<td>15.6±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>647±87</td>
<td>99±21.9</td>
<td>65±5.3</td>
<td>601±67.4</td>
<td>6.5±0.1</td>
<td>4.7±0.3</td>
<td>10.3±3.6</td>
<td>5.0±1.4</td>
<td>24.0±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>222±24</td>
<td>55±23.4</td>
<td>3-10(^p)</td>
<td>606±74.1</td>
<td>7.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.9±0.7</td>
<td>17.4±1.2</td>
<td>6.7±1.2</td>
<td>24.2±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>350±25</td>
<td>78±14.7</td>
<td>3-10(^p)</td>
<td>611±69.3</td>
<td>7.5±0.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.2</td>
<td>15.0±1.2</td>
<td>7.0±0.7</td>
<td>24.4±2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Range values of the young newly formed leaves. Values are mean (n=6) ±s.e. Significant levels are expressed as *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01 and ***P≤0.001; n.s. not significant.
the foliage was almost completely dry (Table 2). The dry leaves represented 12, 16, and 99% of total leaves at S1, S2 and S3 stages, respectively, during 2014 and 43, 49, 98% of total leaves during 2015. Depending on air temperature and rainfall, the plant regulates the leaf development by anticipating or postponing the drying of the mature and old leaves (S2 stage) and the simultaneous formation of the new ones. In both growing cycles analysed at stage S3 (root harvesting), the horseradish plants presented the old leaves completely dry and several new young leaves wrapped in a rosette, that weighed 14 and 42 g in January 2015 and 2016, respectively (average values between accessions) (Table 2). To our knowledge, no data is available in literature referring to plant growth and leaf area development other than those by De Maria et al. (2018), who proposed non-destructive linear models to accurately estimate the leaf area of horseradish throughout the entire crop cycle. De Maria et al. (2016) also stressed the importance of the leaves due to the richness of phytochemicals; indeed the authors reported that the rosette leaves contain a great GLS concentration up to ten-fold higher than roots during the harvesting period, and fivefold higher later, when the foliage is fully developed. The same authors investigating relationships between tissues (leaves and root) and GLS suggested a co-regulation of their biosynthesis or degradation depending on plant tissues. Horseradish roots as well as leaves have been used in the past for condiments and medicinal purposes, but today only the roots are grown and used for fresh market and manufacturing of various condiment products (Walters and Wahle, 2010). On account of the great leaf biomass production that could provide substantial quantities of health-affecting compounds, also the leaves of horseradish should be more valorised and utilised for fresh consumption as well as also in pharmaceutical and industrial sectors, e.g. as an additive for functional foods or food supplements.

Analysing the whole root system, we found that root FW and diameter at the top did not statistically differ between accessions, while, as expected, they differed comparing the stages of development (P≤0.001). The root FW and the diameter at the top gradually increased throughout the growing cycles passing, on average, from 93 g and 2.7 cm to 610 g and 7.3 cm (Table 2). The root diameter at the base, instead, resulted statistically different between accessions (P≤0.05), stages (P≤0.001) and their interaction (P≤0.05). Due to the different ratio between root diameter at the top and at the base (data not shown), COR had an almost cylindrical shape while the root of MON tends to have a frustoconical shape. Both accessions resulted in a ruled texture with a white pulp in COR accession tending to yellow colours in MON. Shape, colour and wrinkle, together with the weight of the main root are the most important traits for both industrial processing and fresh market (Perlaki and Djurovka, 2009). During the growth cycles, the species produced a number of lateral roots differing in diameters and length along the main root. In our case, the lateral roots increased over time from 2.8 per plant up to 16 in two-year old roots, with 39% and 47% of the roots with a diameter greater than 0.5 cm in COR accession and MON, respectively, mostly concentrated in the upper third part of the main root. The side roots can be utilised for fresh market and diameter, while Ku et al. (2015) highlighted that higher grades display better quality, with higher sinigrin and allyl-isothiocyanate contents.

Conclusions

Overall, the results suggest the importance to know the physiology and agronomic characteristics of horseradish to purposefully apply agronomic practices and strategies to maximize benefits for production and root quality and to modulate the health-promoting compounds in plants according to the specific use or application, i.e., fresh market, pharmaceutical and food industries.

Because of the differences in the morphometric and agronomic traits among the accessions that we cultivate nowadays, as found in previous studies, we stress the importance to characterise with an agronomic approach the available horseradish germplasm, which we still have in the Basilicata region (Southern Italy), as well as in many other parts of the world, to identify the genotypes with high-value bioactive compounds and the highest yield performances and to preserve the plant genetic resources against genetic erosion.
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