Improving weed control in sustainable agro-ecosystems: role of cultivar and termination timing of rye cover crop
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Highlights
- One month after rye termination, the weed biomass under mulching is reduced by 4 times, compared with the control.
- When rye is terminated early, the weed biomass production is reduced by the allelochemical content in rye tissues.
- When rye is terminated late, the weed biomass production is reduced by the amount of rye biomass.
- Lambsquarters, redroot pigweed, and purslane growth is inhibited by rye mulching, while velvetleaf is not affected.

Abstract
Alternative strategies to control weeds are required at field level to reduce herbicides and derived pollution. Rye (Secale cereale L.) cultivation as cover crop is adopted mainly because of its allelopathic weed control, which takes place throughout a strong inhibition of germination and seedling growth in several grass and broad-leaved weeds.

The present study consisted of: i) a field trial, focused on evaluation of biomass production and allelochemical concentration in the biomass, and in situ weed control at 30 days after termination (with two termination timings: T1 - heading phase and T2 - 10 days later) of 8 rye varieties; ii) a pot experiment, focused on the inhibition effect of mulches derived by those 8 rye varieties on four summer weeds: velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti Med.), lambsquarters (Chenopodium album L.), redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.), and common purslane (Portulaca oleracea L).

Results showed that biomass production was the highest with Protector, closely followed by Primizia, Sito 70, Hellvus, Forestal, and Hymonta. In any case, rye mulching always reduced the weed biomass, especially with Fasto and Forestal. The allelochemical concentration in the biomass was the highest with Fasto and Forestal, and decreased on average from T1 to T2 (-38% for total BX and -57% for isovitexin). Conversely, the rye biomass production increased (on average + 77%) passing from T1 to T2. We found also that the reduction of weed biomass, compared with the control, is highly
correlated with the allelochemical content in rye biomass in the case of T1 termination, while with the biomass production in the case of T2. In pots, a strong inhibitory effect on seedling growth due to rye mulching was observed for \textit{C. album} (-76%), \textit{A. retroflexus} (-56%), and \textit{P. olearcea} (-84%), while not for \textit{A. theophrasti}.

We concluded that, whatever the variety, adopting rye as cover crop may be considered as a suitable practice to reduce weed pressure at the field level. Among all the varieties tested, Forestal and Protector showed the greatest weed suppression potential, as a consequence of high amount of allelochemicals production for Forestal, and high biomass production for Protector.

**Introduction**

Weed control strategies based on the use of herbicides are expensive and may affect negatively the quality of soil, water and air (Felsot \textit{et al.}, 2011). The excessive use of chemical herbicides in the last decades led to the development of herbicide resistance: 262 species of herbicide-resistant weeds have been detected on 93 crops in 70 countries (Beckie, 2020). Consequently, a growing interest in alternative strategies for weed management has been stimulated worldwide to address current economic and environmental challenges of crop production (Kumar \textit{et al.}, 2020). In addition, the European Commission recently stated ambitious goals for reducing the herbicide use (-50%) at the field level by 2030 (European Commission, 2021).

The use of cover crops (CCs) has long been indicated as a good solution for limiting weed development in a broad series of agro-ecosystems, thus reducing herbicide use and cost (Barnes and Putnam, 1983). It was shown that selected CC species (e.g. gramineous plants) may suppress weeds due to their high competitiveness for space, light, water and nutrient use (Hiltbrunner \textit{et al.}, 2007). Also, CC residues that remains onto the soil surface can limit weed germination and development by reducing light transmittance and soil temperature (Wayman \textit{et al.}, 2015). In addition, some CCs produce allelochemical compounds as secondary metabolites [(e.g. benzoxazinoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, etc. (Scavo \textit{et al.}, 2019)], which are released both by living or decaying plant tissues, and
exert a strong control on weeds (Liebman and Davis, 2015).

A plant species considered as a reference for the allelopathic weed control is rye (*Secale cereale* L.) (Tabaglio *et al.*, 2008). Rye is one of the most commonly used winter cover crops, due to high biomass production, soil and climate adaptability, nitrous oxide emission mitigation, and weed suppression potential (Gavazzi *et al.*, 2010; Fiorini *et al.*, 2020). After termination, rye mulch can be left on the soil surface (i.e. in the case of no-till soil management) to ensure a persistent inhibitory effect on weed germination and development (Mirsky *et al.*, 2013; Tabaglio *et al.*, 2013). Beyond the suppressing effect of rye mulch on weeds, which highly depends on the thickness of the mulch layer (Teasdale and Mohler, 2000), rye residue maintained on the soil surface was reported to release the benzoxazinoids 2,4-dihydroxy-1,4 (2H)-benzoxazin-3-one (DIBOA) and benzoxazolin-2(3H)-one (BOA) (Schulz *et al.*, 2013). Those allelochemical compounds strongly inhibit the germination and seedling growth of several grass and broad-leaved spontaneous plants (Macías *et al.*, 2019). Under field conditions, the amount of benzoxazinoids (BX) released by rye is estimated to range between 0.5 and 5 kg ha⁻¹, depending on variety and date of phenological phase of termination (Reberg-Horton *et al.*, 2005). Rice *et al.* (2005) reported that BX concentration consistently decreases in rye tissues from tillering to flowering. However, previous studies did not consider the component of benzoxazinoids bound to the cell wall: this component is probably not available immediately after rye termination, but it can be released gradually afterwards, affecting the long-term allelochemical potential.

Besides benzoxazinoids, also flavonoids have a high allelopathic potential: isovitexin was isolated in flavonoid fraction of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) (de Bertoldi *et al.*, 2009), which demonstrated a good control of weeds.

A clear assessment on relationships between rye varieties and allelochemical concentration under field conditions is missing in our soil-climate conditions and only few studies previously compared the effect of termination time on allelochemical concentration in different rye varieties, evaluating the effect on weed development under both field and greenhouse conditions. In addition, previous
studies did not assess the concentration of cell wall-bound BOA, which may contribute to a long-term allelopathic effect.

The present study aims to: (i) identify the best rye varieties for benzoxazinoids and isovitexin concentrations and production in order to improving sustainable weed control, (ii) find the combination rye variety × termination timing that can exert the highest and lasting level of weed suppression.

We hypothesized that terminating the cover crop early (at the heading phase) may enhance the allelochemical content and, consequently, the control of weeds.

Materials and methods

Field experiment

The field trial was carried out at CREA Institute, located in Fiorenzuola d’Arda, Piacenza, Northern Italy (lat. 44.926383 N; long. 9.890661 E), from October 2014 to July 2015.

Soil characteristics (0-30 cm soil depth) at the beginning of the experiment are reported in Table 1. The climate is temperate; mean annual rainfall and temperature are 774 mm and 12.8° C, respectively. Climatic data during the experiment were collected from an automated meteorological station situated close to the experimental field (Fig. S1).

The experiment compared 8 treatments, corresponding to 8 rye varieties (6 cultivars: Dukato, Fasto, Forestal, Primizia, Protector, and Sito 70; 2 hybrids: Hellvus and Hymonta), each one replicated 4 times, for a total of 32 main plots (plot area of 10.2 m²). Then, each plot was divided into two sub-plots (sub-plot area of 5.1 m²) according to the two selected rye termination timings (i.e. at heading phase [T1] and 10 days after the full heading phase [T2]), thus obtaining a total number of 64 sub-plots. As a result, a split-plot (SP) experimental design was obtained, where the main factor was the rye variety, and the secondary factor was the rye termination timing.

Rye was sown on 29th October 2014, using an 8-row plot-seeder (mod. Wintersteiger), the seeding rate was 110 kg ha⁻¹. Four more plots (one for each block) without rye were used as a control. In the
control plots the vegetation was let to grow spontaneously.

Fertilization was carried out twice: (1) before sowing using a ternary fertilizer (15-15-15) applying 45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N, 20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of P and 37 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of K; (2) at the end of the tillering using ammonium nitrate (26% N) distributing 52 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N.

The phenological and yield data were determined in the sub-plot area according to the following termination timing: (T1) at the heading phase and (T2) 10 days after. The aboveground biomass production was obtained cutting manually the whole sub-plots biomass at the two termination timings. Prior to each cut, plant height was determined by measuring 20 randomly chosen plants from the ground level, for each subplot. After cutting, rye aboveground biomass was weighted in the field.

A sub-sample of about 800 g was collected to be used (i) as rye mulch for the pot trial (only for sub-plots harvested at T1, as described below), and (ii) for the allelochemical analyses on a dry matter basis, after being oven-dried at 65 °C to constant weight. The remaining biomass was left onto the soil as mulch for evaluating the effect on weed development directly into the field.

Weed density was measured in each sub-plot placing twice randomly a circle of 0.125 m\(^2\) 30 days after both rye termination timing. Weeds in each sampling area were collected and oven-dried at 105 °C for 48 hours for calculating the total dry weight per plot.

**Greenhouse pot experiment**

A greenhouse experiment was set up using rye biomass harvested at T1. The experiment was conducted immediately after rye harvest and it was designed as a randomized complete block (RCB) with 8 treatments and 6 replicates. The 8 treatments were represented by the 8 rye varieties used in the field trial. The experiment was conducted using plastic pots \((30 \times 9 \times 14\) cm, with a surface area of 0.027 m\(^2\)), filled with 2.4 kg of soil moistened at the field capacity.

In each pot was sown one of the following summer weeds: (1) *Abutilon theophrasti* Med. (velvetleaf), (2) *Chenopodium album* L. (lambsquarters), (3) *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. (redroot pigweed), and (4) *Portulaca oleracea* L. (common purslane). The germination of weed seeds was tested in Petri
dishes before starting the experiment. The selected number of seeds for each pot was: 20 seeds of *A. theophrasti*, 30 seeds of *C. album*, 30 seeds of *A. retroflexus*, and 30 seeds of *P. oleracea*.

The rye biomass collected in each T1 sub-plot of the field experiment was shredded into pieces of 2 cm, and put on the top of the corresponding pot. To simulate field conditions, we used fresh biomass: the amount of rye biomass put on each pot was computed reporting the average fresh biomass production per hectare (33.9 Mg ha⁻¹) to the pot surface, obtaining 91.5 g of fresh biomass per pot. Therefore, depending on the different varieties and their dry matter content, from 10.3 to 14.8 grams (on dry matter basis) of rye mulch were placed onto the soil.

In addition, 6 pots without mulch were prepared for control. Soil was then kept at the field capacity using pot plates. The study was carried out for 30 days. During the experimental period, 10 counts of emerged seedlings were carried out every 3 days. Seedlings that emerged were counted at cotyledon appearance and then removed.

**Determination of benzoxazinoid and isovitexin concentration**

Unbound BX and isovitexin concentrations were determined on sub-samples of rye collected in each sub-plot at both termination timing by an extraction of 20 mg of dry material (ground at 0.2 mm by using a Buhler mill mod. MLI 205), which were vortexed for 1 min with 200 µL of water prior addition of 400 µL MeOH. The mixture was sonicated for 5 minutes and then centrifuged for 3 minutes at 20,000 rpm. The supernatant was immediately transferred into a new cap, stored on ice. 30 µL of the supernatant were analyzed within the next 2 hours by HPLC-DAD (Shimadzu) using a Nucleodur C18 column (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). The data obtained were from 3 different extraction of the same mulch sample. For elution, the following gradient was used: 0-5 min, 16% B in A; 22 min, 30% B in A; 30 min, 50% B in A; 32 min, 70% B in A; 35 min, 100% B; 39 min, 100% B; 48 min, 100% B, 55 min, 16% B in A. Solvent A was H2O/0.01% formic acid and solvent B: MeOH were bought from Baker. The runs were analyzed with the Shimadzu Software. The amounts of GDIBOA, DIBOA, BOA and isovitexin were calculated using external standard curves established.
with GDIBOA, DIBOA, BOA and isovitexin. Reference substances GDIBOA and DIBOA were from Dieter Sicker (University of Leipzig, Germany), BOA was purchased from SIGMA, and isovitexin was from Phytoplan (Heidelberg, Germany).

For evaluation of cell wall bound BOA in the dried rye material, 3x1g of each sample was hydrolyzed. Subsequently after MeOH/water extraction to remove unbound benzoazinoids, the extracted rye material was hydrolyzed with sulfuric acid. The two-step sulfuric acid hydrolysis process, firstly described by Sluiter et al. (2008), was performed according to Anders et al. (2015) and Schulz et al. (2016). The extracted rye material and 72% sulfuric acid were added into a flask in a ratio of 1 / 16.4 (w/w). The first hydrolysis step was performed in a 12 L autoclave (Omnilab, Germany) for 1 h at 121 °C. Hereinafter, the liquid was diluted with water to a final sulfuric acid concentration of 4%. The second hydrolysis process was performed again for 1 h at 30 °C. An aliquot of the remaining liquid was filtrated and pipetted into an HPLC vial for analysis.

Subsequent to the cell wall degrading sulfuric acid hydrolysis process the supernatant was analyzed with an LC-ESI-Q-ToF-MS (Agilent, USA) system (Anders et al., 2017). This system was equipped with a 1260 Infinity high performance liquid chromatography and a 6530 accurate mass Q-ToF LC/MS. An RP-18 LiChrospher (250 × 4 mm, 5 µm) purchased from CS Chromatography (Germany) was used for chromatography under the following conditions: injection volume 10 µL, flow rate 0.25 mL min⁻¹, column, temperature 40 °C, isocratic elution with methanol: acidified water (40%:60%). The MS was run under positive ionization mode. The software used for measurement and data calculation was Masshunter.

Additional HPLC-UV analyses were performed in order to demonstrate the stability of BOA under acidic conditions. The HPLC-UV device used for measurement of the degradation process was an Agilent 1260 device (1260 ALS autosampler, 1260 quat pump, 1290 thermostat and 1260 diode array detector). The abovementioned RP-18 LiChrospher column was suitable for the separation of BOA, DIBOA and degradation products. The separation was performed with a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹, injection volume of 10 µL and an aqueous methanol eluent (60%). OpenLab software was used for
visualization of the results (Fig. S2).

Acidic solutions of BOA and DIBOA reference standards were prepared with sulfuric acid according to the procedure described above and subsequently injected on an HPLC column. The acidic treatment had no impact on BOA degradation. DIBOA was degraded into BOA. Contrarily to the mild conditions in vivo, the acidic and high temperature treatment accelerated the degradation of DIBOA, thus, the BOA sum parameter is the sum of BOA and acidically degraded DIBOA. The sum parameter of BOA was calculated based on the LC-ESI-Q-ToF-MS results by using a BOA reference standard.

**Statistical analysis**

**Field experiment**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a split-plot design was performed using JMP, Version 10 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989–2010) software for statistical analysis. The normal distribution of the measured variables was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test; when necessary, in order to accomplish the assumption of normality, data were log-transformed before analysis. Significant differences among treatment means were further examined using Tukey’s multiple range test \((P \leq 0.05)\).

Multivariate analysis was carried out to investigate the relationship among rye biomass production, allelochemical content (obtained multiplying the allelochemical concentration in different rye varieties for the respective biomass production) and weed biomass, using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient \((r)\). A p-value of 0.05 was used as a threshold for statistical significance.

**Pot experiment**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using using JMP, Version 10. All variables were examined for normality with Shapiro-Wilk test; when the test did not confirm the assumptions of ANOVA, data were log-transformed before analysis. The means of emerged weed seedlings in each of the 8 treatments were compared using Tukey’s test \((P \leq 0.05)\).
Results

Field experiment: plant height and above-ground biomass of rye

The plant height and the biomass production, as expressed both on fresh and dry matter basis, were significantly affected by rye variety, and the interaction variety × termination timing (V × T) was also significant (Table 2). Within rye cultivars, Primizia, Sito 70 and Protector had the highest values of plant height at T1, while Protector, Primizia and Forestal showed the highest plant height at T2. Dukato (71 cm at T1 and 104 cm at T2 cm) had significantly lower plant height than all the other cultivars. As regards the hybrids, the height of Hymonta and Hellvus plants, instead, was intermediate between the highest and the lowest cultivars (Table 3).

From the first (T1) to the second (T2) termination timing an overall significant increase in plant height was detected (+35%) (Table 3). Sito 70 showed a slight increase in plant height (+5%), while for Hymonta and Forestal the plant height grown considerably (+65 and +73%, respectively) from T1 to T2.

The biomass production on fresh matter basis was the lowest for Dukato (-29% compared to average production of the other varieties), while no significant difference occurred among the other 7 varieties (Table 3). The overall fresh biomass yield increased by 25% passing from the early (T1) to the late (T2) termination timing (Table 3). As for plant height, the interaction V × T was significant. Indeed, the increase in fresh biomass production from T1 to T2 was less than 10% for Primizia, Protector and Sito 70, whereas exceeded the 80% for Dukato.

Considering the dry biomass yield, Protector had the highest dry biomass production, significantly higher than those of Fasto and Dukato; the last one yielded 53% less than Protector, confirming to be the less productive variety (Table 3).

Passing from the heading stage (T1) to the beginning of the flowering stage (T2), the average dry biomass production significantly increased by 77% (Table 3); again, the interaction V × T was significant. The dry biomass production, indeed, increased only by 34% for Primizia from T1 to T2, whereas it was more than doubled at T2 than at T1 for Hellvus, Hymonta and Forestal.
Field experiment: concentration of allelochemicals in rye biomass

The concentration of allelochemicals in the dry biomass varied considerably among the rye cultivars, and a decrease in the concentration of all the allelochemicals was observed from T1 to T2 (Fig. 1). However, the interaction V x T was significant only for isovitexin (Table 2).

On average, the total BX concentration in rye biomass (Fig. 1a) terminated at the heading phase (T1) was of 61% higher than that recorded 10 days later (T2) (848 vs. 525 µg g⁻¹ of dry matter). Fasto, Forestal, Primizia and Hymonta had the highest BX concentration at T1 (1034, 1009, 988 and 854 µg g⁻¹, respectively), while Protector showed the lowest BX concentration, both at T1 (although not significant) and T2.

The total BX concentration includes BOA fixed into the cell wall (BOA from cell wall hydrolysis), which represented large part of BOA (Fig. 1b). The BOA derived from cell wall hydrolysis decreased by 44% from T1 (561 µg g⁻¹) to T2 (314 µg g⁻¹).

Considering the flavonoid fraction, the isovitexin concentration detected in the methanolic extract followed a similar pattern to that of the total BX concentration and the cell wall fixed-BOA (Fig. 1c): it decreased by about 57% from T1 to T2. Dukato had the greatest isovitexin concentration at T1 (1103 µg g⁻¹), while Protector and Primizia the lowest (506 and 476 µg g⁻¹, respectively). The isovitexin concentration in dry biomass of Dukato was considerably higher (+71%) than the average value of the other cultivars, also at T2.

Field experiment: weeding effect of rye mulch

Different development of weeds was observed according to rye variety within 30 days after termination (Table 3).

The dry biomass of weeds was not affected by rye variety, although all of them showed lower values than that detected under the control (Table 3). On average, the dry biomass of weeds was 9 g m⁻², while the correspondent value for the control plots was 33.3 g m⁻² (about 4 times higher). The weed
Dry biomass was not significantly different for the rye terminated at T2 than at T1. Also, the interaction V × T was not significant (Table 2). The weed community was mainly represented by: *Polygonum aviculare* L. (common knotgrass), *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. (redroot pigweed), *Fallopia convolvulus* L. Á Löwe (black-bindweed), *Anagallis arvensis* L. (scarlet pimpernel), *Lamium purpureum* L. (red-dead nettle), and *Cirsium arvense* L. (creeping thistle).

Results of the correlation analysis (Table 4) showed that the weed biomass detected after T1 was significantly affected by the allelochemical content in rye biomass: a negative correlation was indeed observed between weed biomass and allelochemical content in rye tissues.

On the contrary, the amount of rye biomass (mulch) left on the soil surface had no significant effect after T1.

Unlike what was observed after T1, the weed biomass detected after T2 rye termination was negatively affected by the rye biomass production, while the allelochemical content did not influence it significantly.

**Pot experiment: weeding effect of rye mulch on summer weeds**

In the pot trial (Table 5), the number of seedlings of *A. theophrasti* emerged in the mulched pots was not significantly different than that detected in the control ones. Rather, in the mulched pots, the emergence of *A. theophrasti* seedlings tended to be higher (+35%, on average) than in the control pots, although without statistical significance. Different results were observed for the other three summer weeds.

For *C. album*, the number of weed seedlings emerged in the mulched pots of all the rye varieties was significantly reduced, compared with the control. The highest decrease of weed germination was observed for Protector, Forestal, Hymonta and Hellvus, which exhibited a reduction of *C. album* development from 92 to 84%, in comparison with the control. Fasto showed the lowest inhibitory effect (-50% than the control), while the weed development in the pots mulched with Primizia, Sito 70, and Dukato was intermediate among the previous varieties.
The average development of mulched pots with *A. retroflexus* was of 56% lower than that observed in the control, and the greatest weed suppression occurred for Forestal (-82%), while Dukato exerted the lowest inhibitory effect (-22%), proving to be not different from the control. Primizia, Protector and Hymonta resulted intermediate between the two, although all of them exhibited percentage of weed development reduction over than 60%.

The higher average weed suppression was observed for *P. oleracea* (-84%): the percentage of seeds germinated ranged from 11 to 28% of the control. Definitely, *P. oleracea* was easily controlled by all rye cultivars.

**Discussion**

**Rye biomass production and inhibitory effect on weed development in the field**

Values of rye biomass production observed in the present study are consistent with those reported by previous research carried out by Nair and Ngouajio (2012), who found that rye may produce up to 8 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) of dry biomass for late-April termination date under similar climate. Although results of the field experiment refer only to a single location and one season, we can affirm that Protector was the most productive variety in our soil-climate conditions, closely followed by Primizia, Sito 70, Hellvus, Forestal, and Hymonta. The significant increase in biomass production from the heading stage to the beginning of flowering stage was previously documented by Clark et al. (1994), who observed that rye biomass production increases significantly (+75%) if the spring termination is delayed (from the beginning of April to the beginning of May). In addition, Mischler et al. (2010) reported that the height of rye plants increased from 83 to 100%, while the biomass production doubled when the rye kill was postponed of 10 days.

The mulch left onto the soil surface was reported to be directly related with the inhibition of weed development, due to both physical and allelochemical effects on germination of weed seeds (Smith *et al.*, 2011). Results of the correlation analysis confirmed the inhibitory effect on weed biomass due to rye mulching, showing that this effect was exerted by different factors depending on the timing of
termination. In our study, we observed a significant negative correlation between allelochemical content (above all isovitexin content) and weed biomass after T1 termination, while the rye biomass production seemed to play no substantial effect on weed development at this termination timing. Conversely, the weed biomass was negatively correlated with the amount of rye mulch after T2 termination, when the rye biomass highly increased compared with T1 termination (on average +77%). It follows that the content of allelochemicals in rye biomass, and its consequent release during the degradation of plant tissues (Schulz et al., 2013), could be considered as a main driver for the inhibition of weed development if rye is terminated at an early stage of growth. On the contrary, the amount of biomass surpasses the allelochemical content if rye is terminated later. The rye mulch, indeed, may exert a physical suppression of weed seedlings, mainly due to a reduction of soil temperature and light penetration, which both have been recognized to limit weed germination (Teasdale and Mohler, 2000; Kruidhof et al., 2009).

Contrary to our hypothesis, the early rye termination did not enhance the control of weeds compared to the late one, as the suppressing effect on weeds due to the allelochemicals after T1 was replaced by that exerted by the rye biomass production after T2.

**Field experiment: allelochemical concentration as affected by rye variety and termination timing**

The BX concentration detected in rye cultivars was higher than that found in previous studies. For instance, Tabaglio et al. (2013) reported that BX concentration ranged between 177 and 545 µg g⁻¹ for 7 greenhouse-grown rye cultivars considered in their research, while Rice et al. (2012) found considerably lower values of BX concentration (145 and 161 µg g⁻¹) in rye biomass in two different sites. The higher BX concentration detected in our study was probably due to the N fertilizer applied to the cover crop: Gavazzi et al. (2010) observed that the distribution of 50 Kg N ha⁻¹ led to an increase of benzoxazinoid concentration by 41% in comparison with an unfertilized control. Schulz et al. (2013) confirmed these results, reporting that severe nitrogen shortage may reduce the BX content in
In addition, as previously reported by Reberg-Horton et al. (2005), the allelochemical concentration in rye tissues decreased with the plant aging for all the cultivars. Such an observation confirms the existence of a temporal pattern of allelochemical production (Gianoli et al., 2000). Considering the total amount of benzoxazinoids (BX), we found that a large part of BOA was bound to the cell wall. It is presently unclear whether the fixation occurs during the drying process of the plant material or whether the compound is already bound to cell wall carbohydrates after it is released and abundant in the apoplastic space of the living rye plant. In the latter case, the deposition may strengthen pathogen resistance and influence cell wall degradability (Mnich et al., 2020). Whichever, crop residues, including mulches, are known sources for bioactive secondary compounds, such as biopesticides and allelochemicals as well (Santana-Méridas et al., 2012). These compounds can be released, for instance, by microbial cellulases or other bacterial or fungal enzymes when decay of the mulch starts. Therefore, while the fixation of BOA into the cell wall may reduce the allelopathic potential of rye mulch obtained immediately after termination, the subsequent degradation of mulch due to microbial activities may promote the liberation of the cell wall-bound BOA and probably additional allelochemicals (not tested in this study), thus eventually affecting the long-term allelopathic effect positively.

**Inhibition of summer weed in the pot experiment**

The absence of any kind of inhibition performed by rye mulch on *A. theophrasti* emergence is consistent with results of our previous studies (Tabaglio et al., 2008; Schulz et al., 2013), reporting that the presence of a rye mulch did not reduce the *A. theophrasti* growth, but rather tends to encourage the germination of the weed seeds. This is probably related to the higher humidity and temperature of the soil below the mulch than in the uncover soil (Weil and Kremen, 2007). In addition, it was observed that the activity of rhizosphere bacteria and root colonizing microorganisms could help *A. theophrasti* to detoxify benzoxazinoids (Haghi Kia et al. 2014; Schulz et al. 2017).
For the other common summer weeds, the reduction of seedling emergence was very high compared to the control, corroborating findings from previous studies. Putnam and DeFrank (1983) reported an emergence reduction of *A. retroflexus* by 95%, and *P. oleracea* by 100%, within 30 to 60 days after the placement of rye residues on the soil; Tabaglio et al. (2008) observed that rye mulch significantly reduced seedling emergence of *A. retroflexus* (up to 52%) and purslane (up to 74%), while failed to inhibit the development of *C. album*.

Further reports confirmed these outcomes and showed that smaller-seeded species are more sensitive to allelochemicals (Tabaglio *et al.*, 2008) and that seed mass is crucial for the selective suppression of weeds with crop residues (Kruidhof *et al.*, 2009).

We assessed the inhibitory effect of rye mulch on summer weeds only using rye biomass cut at T1; future research may evaluate the suppressing effect on weed of rye biomass cut at a late stage of growth.

**Implications for sustainable weed management**

A better understanding about the allelopathic effect of rye mulch under field conditions and the actual role due to some agricultural practices (e.g. choice of the cultivar, fertilization, timing and system of cover crop termination) on weed suppression would provide the means to profitably include rye cover as a complementary strategy in weed management, especially within organic farming systems. The restraining effect on weed growth should be considered in integrated weed control strategy, because it can allow the reduction in rate of herbicide applied to cash crops following rye cover crop, as requested also by the new EU strategy named “Farm to Fork” (European Commission, 2021).

It was indeed observed that rye mulching can represent a sustainable weed management practice allowing a reduction of the herbicides used in agroecosystems, for instance by eliminating the need for pre-emergence applications (Reddy 2001). Wallace and Bellinder (1990) observed that the presence of a rye mulch suppressed weed growth and allowed the use of reduced rates of linuron, metribuzin, and oryzalin. However, Reddy (2001) found that the cover crop mulching should be
accompanied by the application of post-emergence herbicide to complement early-season weed suppression.

In addition, rye cultivar dependent pathways of exudation are not known, nor is the degree of long-distance transport of benzoxazinoids and the allelopathic potential of BX fixed to the cell wall. Some specific considerations for further research needs are: (i) to identify detoxification potentials of crop cultivars in order to realize breeding strategies, (ii) to realize systematic analysis about BX content in rye cultivars as a function of environmental factors, and (iii) to study intensively BX accumulation patterns in rye plants during growth in order to choose the best timing for the cover crop termination. These questions should be addressed if rye allelopathy is to be used more commonly in the future.

**Conclusions**

Results of the present study confirm that adopting rye as cover crop may be considered as a suitable practice to reduce weed pressure at the field level. At the same time, the role of rye variety in the production rate of allelochemicals and the importance of the interaction between variety and termination time are confirmed. Among all the varieties tested, Protector and Forestal showed the higher potential to inhibit weed development: Forestal maximized the allelochemical production, whereas Protector showed the highest biomass production. Cell wall-bound BOA is assumed to contribute to long-term allelopathy of rye mulch.

Delaying the cover crop termination leads to a significant increase of the biomass production, but the concentration of allelochemicals in the biomass, especially for isovitexin, decreased.

The reduction of weed biomass 30 days after the rye termination is strongly correlated with the allelochemical content in the case of the early termination, while with the rye biomass production in the case of delayed termination.

In addition, we found that rye mulches were not able to suppress the growth of *A. theophrasti* seedlings, while the growth of seedlings of *A. retroflexus*, *P. oleracea*, and *C. album* was significantly affected. This fact endorses that *A. theophrasti* could activate mechanisms of detoxification promoted
by the associated microbiotas.

However, this study was conducted only in one year. Although weather conditions during this period could be considered as typical, further studies are needed to verify that results remain consistent in wetter and/or drier years and in the middle- and long-term.
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Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties (0-30 cm depth) at the beginning of the experiment (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand (2 - 0.05 mm)</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (0.05 - 0.002 mm)</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (&lt; 0.002 mm)</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (H(_2)O)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO(_3) (volumetric)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter (Walkley and Black)</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total N (Kjeldahl)</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available P (Olsen)</td>
<td>mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exchangeable K (Ba chloride, pH 8.1)</td>
<td>mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the effects of rye variety (V), termination timing (T), and their interaction (V x T) on plant height, biomass production, and allelochemical concentration in the biomass of rye, and weed biomass in the field trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Variety (V)</th>
<th>Termination (T)</th>
<th>VxT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field trial</td>
<td>Plant height</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh biomass</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry biomass</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weed biomass</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.4502</td>
<td>0.1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total BX concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOA from cell wall hydrol. conc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.2730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isovitexin concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.3720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Rye plant height and rye biomass production at T1 and T2, and weed biomass in mulched and control plots 30 days after rye termination in the field trial. Within the columns, means followed by different letters are significantly different according to Tukey test (P ≤ 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Termination</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Fresh biomass (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Dry biomass (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Weed biomass (g m(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dukato</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>71 g</td>
<td>17.8 d</td>
<td>2.4 g</td>
<td>11.8 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>104 ef</td>
<td>32.3 abc</td>
<td>4.4 efg</td>
<td>13.7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>128 e</td>
<td>27.7 cd</td>
<td>4.2 efg</td>
<td>9.2 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasto</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>154 bcd</td>
<td>38.6 ab</td>
<td>6.5 bcde</td>
<td>12.1 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>97 ef</td>
<td>31.9 abc</td>
<td>3.6 fg</td>
<td>6.2 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestal</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>168 abc</td>
<td>41.9 a</td>
<td>9.0 a</td>
<td>8.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellvus</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>102 ef</td>
<td>30.2 bc</td>
<td>4.1 efg</td>
<td>7.8 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>155 bcd</td>
<td>38.4 ab</td>
<td>8.5 ab</td>
<td>9.4 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymonta</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>95 f</td>
<td>29.6 bc</td>
<td>3.4 fg</td>
<td>7.0 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>157 bcd</td>
<td>38.2 ab</td>
<td>8.3 ab</td>
<td>7.7 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primizia</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>157 cd</td>
<td>34.7 abc</td>
<td>5.6 cdef</td>
<td>8.7 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>174 ab</td>
<td>36.8 abc</td>
<td>7.5 abcde</td>
<td>10.2 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protector</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>136 d</td>
<td>34.1 abc</td>
<td>5.5 cdef</td>
<td>10.2 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>192 a</td>
<td>37.3 abc</td>
<td>9.0 a</td>
<td>2.5 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sito 70</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>143 cd</td>
<td>34.7 abc</td>
<td>5.2 def</td>
<td>10.6 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>151 bcd</td>
<td>37.8 abc</td>
<td>7.9 abc</td>
<td>8.7 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between mulch amount, allelochemical content in mulch and weed biomass. Weed biomass was assessed twice: 30 days after T1 and 30 days after T2. P-values are also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Rye biomass production</th>
<th>BX content</th>
<th>BOA content in cw</th>
<th>Isovitexin content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed biomass after T1</td>
<td>-0.7753</td>
<td>0.7267</td>
<td>-0.4782</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed biomass after T2</td>
<td>-0.7729</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0823</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 cw = cell wall

Table 5. Percentage of weed seedlings emerged up to 30 days after sowing of four weeds, compared to the control without mulch. Within the columns, means followed by different letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Mulch (g d.m. pot(^1))</th>
<th>A. theophrasti (%)</th>
<th>C. album (%)</th>
<th>A. retroflexus (%)</th>
<th>P. oleracea (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dukato</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23 bc</td>
<td>43 ab</td>
<td>6 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasto</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32 b</td>
<td>24 abc</td>
<td>7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestal</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8 c</td>
<td>10 c</td>
<td>2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellvus</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>8 c</td>
<td>21 abc</td>
<td>8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymonta</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10 c</td>
<td>38bc</td>
<td>4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primizia</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18 bc</td>
<td>18 bc</td>
<td>11 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protector</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5 c</td>
<td>18 bc</td>
<td>5 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sito 70</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>18 bc</td>
<td>30 abc</td>
<td>6 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>63 a</td>
<td>55 a</td>
<td>38 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.7304</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Concentration of allelochemicals in dry biomass at T1 and T2: a) total BX concentration; b) BOA concentration from cell wall hydrolysis; c) isovitexin concentration in methanolic extracts. For each rye variety, means followed by different letters are significantly different according to Tukey test (P ≤ 0.05).
Figure S1. Monthly rainfall (columns) and air temperature (line) during the field experiment (October 2014 - July 2015).
Figure S2. HPLC-DAD chromatogram of BOA (A-5.867 min) and DIBOA (C-4.513 min). Both Samples were hydrolyzed using sulfuric acid in order to degrade both standards. The subsequent analysis of the liquid BOA and DIBOA solution showed no degradation of BOA (see B). However, DIBOA was degraded as shown in the DAD chromatogram shown in D.